

BUREAU	OF	TRAFFIC	<b>OPERATIONS</b>

<b>Project and Analyst In</b>	iformation:					
Analyst:		Design ID:				
Agency:	Co	onstruction ID:				
Date:		Highway:				
		County				
		Municipality				
	_	Project Title:				
		oject Subtitle:				
	Scheduled Cons					
	Improvement (	Loncept Code:				
<b>Background Informat</b>	ion:					
The purpose of this amendmen additional operational improve						
Information from the original C include new information and ne			<del></del>			
Are new operational sites of p	romise heing	☐ Yes ☐ No <i>If no, ski</i> New Operational Sites o	<i>p the Operationa</i> f Promise:	l Analysis section		
evaluated?		f yes, list the new location		g evaluated		
Were new alternatives identif operational site of promise do the original Operations Certifi Summary?	ocumented in cation	☐ Yes ☐ No Previously Evaluated Operational Sites of Promise:  If yes, list the locations that are being re-evaluated with new alternatives				
	nsider including h			onfigurations, turn bay lengt	:hs,	
Existing Conditions Provide information for the new area, importance, and need for site was evaluated.	•		•			
Skip this section if the Operation	onal Site of Prom	ise was documented in	the original Oper	rations Certification Summar	y.	
Existing Conditions:	New Operatio	nal Site of Promise:		□ N/A		
Existing Freight Routes:	☐ OSOW-TR ☐ 65' Restrict	$\square$ High Clearance $\square$ ted Truck Route $\square$ N/ $\square$		Long Truck Route		
	☐ Pedestrian	•	ad 🗌 Transit 📗	□ N/A		
Existing Accommodations:		cate the type of facility				
	Discuss any co	ncerns/notential impac	ts to alternate mi	ades of transportation		



	7	BUREAU	OF	TRAFFIC	<b>OPERATIONS</b>
A 2 A	_	DOLLEGO	$\circ$	IIIAIIIO	OI LIMITONO

OF TRANS!	BUREAU OF TRAFFIC OPERATIONS
Traffic Generators:	☐ Seasonal Event ☐ Daily Event ☐ Special Event ☐ Major Traffic Generator (shopping center, gas station, school, etc.) ☐ N/A  If present, please describe the location of the traffic generator to the study location and the type of event or location that generates traffic.
Do Alternate Routes Exist?	☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, describe the alternate route(s):
Operational Analysis:	
Traffic Volumes Discuss any pertinent new inform	mation about the existing traffic volumes collected or future traffic forecasts.
Fill out the table with traffic volu	me information for each new Operational Site of Promise. Duplicate the table if necessary.
New Operational Site of Promi	Complete this table for <u>new</u> Operational Sites of Promise <u>not</u> se: documented in the original Operations Certification Summary.   If not applicable, proceed to the next table
Annual Growth Rate:	
Existing Counts:	Turning Movement Count ( <i>Indicate count year</i> ): ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A  Duration: <i>Indicate count duration, such as AM/PM peak, 13 hours, etc.</i> Mainline Count ( <i>Indicate count year</i> ): ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
Forecast Scenarios:	Start Year (Indicate start year):   Yes  No  End Year (Indicate end year):  Yes  No  Other Scenario/Year (Indicate any other scenarios or years):  Yes  No
NPMRDS Data:	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A  NPMRDS data is only used in the mainline operational evaluation. Check the N/A box if the site is an intersection.
documented in the original Opnecessary to collect new count Certification Summary can be improvements. If new counts additional improvements. Previous	updates to previous traffic volume information for each Operational Site of Promise perations Certification Summary. If the location was previously documented, it is not to sor forecasts. Existing counts and forecasts documented in the original Operations used to complete the operational analysis for the new alternatives or additional for forecasts are obtained, they should only be used to evaluate the new alternatives or observed evaluations documented in the original Operations Certification Summary I. Duplicate the table if necessary.
Previously Evaluated Operation Site of Promise:	Complete this table for Operational Sites of Promise documented in the <u>original</u> Operations Certification Summary.   If not applicable, proceed to the next section.
Were new counts/forecast dat collected?	If yes, fill out the rest of the table.  If no, proceed to the next section.
Updated Annual Growth Rate:	☐ Yes — Indicate updated annual growth rate ☐ No
Existing Counts:	New Turning Movement Count (Indicate count year): ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A  Duration: Indicate count duration, such as AM/PM peak, 13 hours, etc.  New Mainline Count (Indicate count year): ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
Updated Forecast Volumes:	Start Year ( <i>Indicate start year</i> ): ☐ Yes ☐ No



Analysis periods

# OPERATIONS CERTIFICATION SUMMARY AMENDMENT

DUDEALL	OF TRAFFIC	<b>OPERATIONS</b>
DUREAU	OF IRAFFIC	OPERALIONS

OFTRANS			BUREAU OF TRAFFIC OPERATIONS				
	End Y	ear ( <i>Indicate end year</i> ):   Yes   No					
	Other	Scenario/Year ( <i>Indicate any other scena</i>	rio/year): ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A				
	☐ Ye	s □ No □ N/A					
New NPMRDS Data:	NPMI	RDS data is only used in the mainline ope	erational evaluation. Check the N/A				
	box if	the site is an intersection.					
Attachments/Exhibits (only inc	clude informa	ntion that was not included in the origina	l Operations Certification Summary):				
<ul> <li>Turning movement co</li> </ul>	unts (Intersed	ction Traffic Volume Report) (if applicable	)				
		analysis period: show volumes by direction counts or traffic forecasts may suffice.	on at each study intersection/corridor.				
Intersection Control							
Fill out the table below for each	:h <u>new</u> interso	ection not included in the original Operati	ions Certification Summary. Duplicate				
table if more than one <u>new</u> int	tersection wa						
New Intersection:			] N/A				
	☐ Signa		dabout				
Existing Control Type:		: If other, indicate the control type					
,,		If signalized, indicate if signal is part of coordinated system and/or when the most					
		gnal timing update was made.					
Mayout Analysis		/arrants: ☐ Yes ☐ No					
Warrant Analysis		nal Warrants:   Yes   No  Since the formula in the second					
		□ No □ N/A	nas been completed				
		evaluation completed to see if the signal ti	iming could be ontimized to decrease				
Optimized Signal Timing		y and queueing on the State Trunk Netwo	•				
Evaluated?		Results: What were the results of the signal timing optimizations? What changes were					
		made, how did they address the issues on the STN, and how did they impact the minor					
	approaci	h(es)?					
Attachments:							
• AWSC warrants (if app							
• Signal warrants (if app	olicable)						
	ch site's oper	rational evaluation. Combine multiple site re used. List each applicable site or indice					
Are there any changes to the compared to the original Ope		inalysis periods, or scenarios evaluated fication Summary?	☐ Yes ☐ No  If yes, complete the rest of the table  If no, skip to the next section				
Operational Site of Promise:							
Software used for operationa	al analysis						
Version of software							
Analysis narieds		☐ AM Peak ☐ Mid-Day Peak ☐ PM	1 Peak				

☐ Weekend ☐ Other: *Describe analysis period* 



17	BUREAU	OF	TRAFFIC	<b>OPERATIONS</b>

	☐ Existing Conditions ☐ No-Build (Start Year) ☐ No-Build (End Year)
Scenarios Evaluated	☐ Build (Start Year) ☐ Build (End Year)
	☐ Other: <i>Describe analysis period</i>
	Identify and document any concerns with respect to operational analysis
Software Limitations	(e.g. software limitations, assumptions, reliability of data input and/or
	output, etc.) and corrective action.

Input results in the operational analysis summary tables below for each scenario and analysis period evaluated. Scenarios that were not evaluated do not need to be filled out. The typical scenarios (start year and end year) and analysis periods (AM and PM) have been populated in the tables. If different scenarios or analysis periods are evaluated, modify the tables as necessary. If more than one alternative was evaluated, include results for each alternative. Separate tables are provided for intersection and mainline results. Duplicate or delete tables and rows/columns as necessary.



BUREAU OF TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

Inters				tersection LOS by Movement and Approach										
		Intersection:												
		Roadway:												
Scenario	Analysis Period	Direction:												
		Movement	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
		No-Bu	uild <mark>If n</mark>	ot appli	icable, d	lelete th	ne follov	ving LO	S rows				□ N/A	
		LOS												
Start Year	AM	LOS by approach												
(indicate start year)		LOS												
	PM	LOS by approach												
	AM	LOS												
End Year		LOS by approach												
(indicate end year)	PM	LOS												
		LOS by approach												
Build	– Alternativ	re 1 ( <mark>Provide d</mark>	brief a	lescripti	ion of th	ne alteri	native, s	such as .	signal, d	add righ	it turn l	ane, etc	.)	
		LOS												
Start Year	AM	LOS by approach												
(indicate start year)		LOS												
	PM	LOS by approach												
		LOS										ļ		
End Year	AM	LOS by approach												
(indicate end year)		LOS												
, ,	PM	LOS by approach												



Mainline LOS by Direction										
	Mainline:									
Segment	Direction	No-Build □ N/A If not applicable, do not fill out				Build Alternative 1 (Provide a brief description of the alternative, such as add aux lane, extend decel lane, etc.)				
segment	Direction	Start Year (indicate start year)		End Year (indicate end year)		Start Year (indicate start year)		End Year (indicate end year)		
		AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	

#### Attachments/Exhibits:

- Software reports for operational analysis
- DT 1887

#### Queue Analysis

Complete the following table for each <u>new</u> Operational Site of Promise not documented in the original Operations Certification Summary. Based on field data and the 95th-percentile back-of-queue length, assess whether the existing and no-build queues block access to any critical locations. Include a table for each <u>new</u> site evaluated.

New Operational Site of Promise:		□ N/A
Are there existing/no-build queuin	g issues?	o, then continue to the next section
Existing/No-Build Queuing Issues		Location (Intersection/Mainline Approach):
	☐ Left turn lane	$\square$ E/NE; $\square$ W/SW; $\square$ N/NW; $\square$ S/SE
	☐ Right turn lane	$\square$ E/NE; $\square$ W/SW; $\square$ N/NW; $\square$ S/SE
	☐ Driveways	$\square$ E/NE; $\square$ W/SW; $\square$ N/NW; $\square$ S/SE
Queues block	☐ Adjacent Intersection	$\square$ E/NE; $\square$ W/SW; $\square$ N/NW; $\square$ S/SE
access to:	☐ Railroad Crossing	$\square$ E/NE; $\square$ W/SW; $\square$ N/NW; $\square$ S/SE
	☐ On/off-ramp	$\square$ E/NE; $\square$ W/SW; $\square$ N/NW; $\square$ S/SE
	☐ Other Critical Location	$\square$ E/NE; $\square$ W/SW; $\square$ N/NW; $\square$ S/SE
	If other, indicate what is blocked by	
	queues.	
Are there any access control	☐ Yes ☐ No <i>Please provide a</i>	
issues?	description of each access location	$\square$ E/NE; $\square$ W/SW; $\square$ N/NW; $\square$ S/SE
133003:	and an explanation of the issue.	

Discuss the existing and no-build scenario queuing results, how it relates to available storage, and the blocked access for each scenario. In the case of an interchange, indicate how far the queue backs up on to the ramp. Note how the queuing affects the design (e.g., turn bay lengths). Discuss how the build scenario addresses the queuing issues.



	7	BUREAU	OF	TRAFFIC	<b>OPERATIONS</b>
		DOLLERO	Oi	HALLO	OI LIMITONO

#### Attachments/Exhibits (new operational sites of promise only):

• Exhibit highlighting queues vs. available storage for each analysis period. Provide exhibits for the existing, nobuild, and build scenarios. Include a screenshot of the location and visually show estimated queue lengths, available storage, and locations with blocked access.

### **Economic Appraisal:**

Complete the benefit-cost analysis using the Intersection Benefit-Cost Tool or Mainline Facility Benefit-Cost Tool for each <u>new site and new alternative(s)</u> identified. Input pass/fail results of the safety and operational checks for each new site and each new alternative into the table. If any of the Fail boxes are checked, discuss why the improvement(s) should be considered.

Operational Site of Promise				
Alternative				
Safety B/C ratio check	☐ Pass ☐ Fail			
Fatal and injury crash check	☐ Pass ☐ Fail			
Safety and operations B/C ratio check	☐ Pass ☐ Fail			
STN-only B/C ratio check	☐ Pass ☐ Fail			
(intersections only)	□ N/A	□ N/A	□ N/A	□ N/A

#### Attachments:

 Intersection Benefit-Cost Tool and/or Mainline Facility Benefit-Cost Tool printouts for the new operational site of promise and/or new alternative.

### **Summary of Findings:**

Summarize the findings of the operational analysis and economic appraisal for each new site, new alternative, and additional improvement evaluated. Include discussion on how each improvement correlates to the operational issue (e.g., Proposed improvement X will mitigate operational problem Y by Z). Clearly highlight the benefit to the State Trunk Network. Do not recommend an alternative if more than one alternative was evaluated. The preferred alternative will be identified in the environmental document.

Attachments/Exhibits (only include sites and alternatives/improvements that were not included in the original Operations Certification Summary):

 Alternative Layout/Schematic should include aerial, dimensions, lane configurations, turn bay lengths, and any other unique geometric components

#### Attachments:

<u>Only include information not documented in the original Operations Certification Summary</u>. Provide a list of all the attachments/exhibits included in the Operations Certification Summary Amendment. A base list is provided and should be updated to reflect the actual attachments/exhibits provided with the submittal. Attach the final Operations Certification Summary Amendment to the Safety & Operations Certification Document Amendment and submit as a single PDF.

- A. Operational Analysis
  - a. Turning Movement Counts (Intersection Traffic Volume Report)
  - b. Diagram of traffic volumes for each analysis period
  - c. AWSC warrants



BUREAU OF TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

- d. Signal warrants
- e. Software reports for operational analysis
- f. DT 1887
- g. Exhibit highlighting queues vs. available storage for each analysis period
- B. Economic Appraisal
  - a. Printouts from the Intersection Benefit-Cost Tool and/or Mainline Facility Benefit-Cost Tool