Report for Wisconsin Department of Transportation

Madison Beltline Planning and Environment Linkages Summary Report

Prepared by:

STRAND ASSOCIATES, INC.® 910 West Wingra Drive Madison, WI 53715 www.strand.com

October 2025



TABLE OF CONTENTS

MADISON E	BELTLINE PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT LINKAGES SUMMARY REF	PORT
SECTION 1-	-INTRODUCTION AND PROCESS	
1.01 1.02 1.03	•	1-3
SECTION 2-	-PUBLIC, LOCAL MUNICIPALITIES, AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT	
2.01	Public, Local Municipalities, and Agency Involvement	2-1
SECTION 3-	-GOAL, OBJECTIVES, AND STRATEGY PACKAGE DEVELOPMENT	
3.01 3.02		
SECTION 4-	-TRAFFIC DATA SUMMARY	
4.01 4.02 4.03 4.04 4.05		4-1 4-5 4-8
SECTION 5-	-STAND-ALONE STRATEGY SCREENING	
5.01 5.02 5.03 5.04 5.05 5.06 5.07 5.08 5.09 5.10	North Mendota Corridors	5-2 5-5 5-7 5-8 5-9 5-10 5-12 5-13
5.10 5.11 5.12	Beltline Corridor	5-16
SECTION 6-	-COMPONENTS	
6.01 6.02 6.03 6.04	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6-1 6-5 6-70 6-107

TABLE OF CONTENTS Continued

SECTION 7-STRATEGY PACKAGES AND NEPA			
	7.01 7.02 7.03 7.04 7.05	Introduction	7-1 7-1 7-32 7-54 7-65
SECTI	ON 8-	SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	
	8.01 8.02 8.03	Recommendations for Elements to Carry Forward into NEPA Analysis Recommendations for NEPA Sections and Timing Potential Future Adoption into NEPA Document	8-1 8-8 8-12
		TABLES	
2.01-1		Comment Points for Public and Agency Involvement in the Beltline PEL Study	2-3
2.01-2		Meetings and Presentations to Local Municipalities, State and Federal Agencies, and Public Involvement Meeting (PIM) Series	2-4
3.01-1		Meetings Associated with Development of the Problem Statement, Goal, and Objectives	3-3
4.04-1 4.04-2		Beltline Mainline Daily Traffic Forecast Results Beltline Mainline Annual Growth Rates	4-14 4-15
5.02-1 5.12-1		Screening Questions Summary of the Stand-Alone Strategy Screening	5-4 5-17
6.02-1		Interchange Components to Consider for Strategy Packages: US 14/University Avenue to Stoughton Road	6-18
6.03-1 6.03-2		Mainline and Weave Components Screening SummaryLocal Road System Crossings and Connections Components Screening	6-78
6.03-3		SummaryPedestrian and Bicycle Components Screening Summary– Components A through G	6-80 6-82
6.03-4		Pedestrian and Bicycle Components Screening Summary– Components H through Y	6-83
6.03-5 6.03-6		Park and Ride Components Screening Summary Agency Prioritization of Beltline Interchange Transit Crossings	6-85
6.02.7		(Pre-June 2023)	6-87
6.03-7 6.03-8		Transit Priority Components Screening Summary Preliminary Component Impact: Mainline and Weaving Improvements	6-88 6-95
6.03-9		Impacts Priority for the Local Road Crossings and	6-96
6.03-1	Λ	Connections Components Impacts Priority for the Pedestrian and Bicycle Components	6-98
6.04-1	O	Interchange Components Retained for Inclusion in the Strategy Packages: US 14/University Avenue to Stoughton Road	•

TABLE OF CONTENTS Continued

		Page No. Following
6.04-2	Summary of Local Road System Crossings and Connections	
	Components Priorities	
6.04-3	Summary of Pedestrian and Bicycle Components Analysis	6-110
6.04-4	Summary of Park and Ride Components Analysis	6-111
6.04-5	Summary of Transit Priority Components Analysis	6-112
7.03-1	Mainline Components Screening Summary	7-34
7.05-1	Estimated Years of Need	7-71
	FIGURES	
4.04.4	Delilie - DEL Ottobel instru	4.0
1.01-1	Beltline PEL Study Limits	1-2
1.02-1	Major Steps in the Beltline PEL Study Process	1-3
3.02-1	Strategy Package Development Process	3-6
4.04-1	Beltline Mainline Forecast Request Locations	4-11
4.04-2	Beltline Traffic Forecast Alternatives	4-13
4.04-3	Sections of Beltline for HCS Modeling (Previous Efforts)	4-16
5.01-1	Beltline Desirable LOS	5-1
5.02-1	Evaluation Process–Stand-Alone Strategies	5-2
5.03-1	North Mendota Corridors Strategy Alignments Evaluated by the	-
	Beltline PEL Study Team	5-6
5.04-1	Projected South Reliever Volumes	5-7
5.05-1	Transport 2020 System Evaluated by the Beltline PEL Study	5-8
5.06-1	BRT Alignments Investigated by the Beltline PEL Study Team	5-9
5.07-1	Transit (Bus) Service on the Beltline Strategy Corridors	5-11
5.08-1	Land Use Scenarios from Madison in Motion	5-13
5.09-1	Results of TDM with Triple the Alternate Mode Share	5-14
5.10-1	Combined Stand-Alone Strategies Evaluated by the Beltline PEL	5-15
6.02-1	Beltline Mainline Sections	6-6
6.02-2	Example of CD Roadways	6-10
6.02-3	Example of Basket-Weaves	6-11
6.02-4	Mainline Component A-Preserve and Maintain	6-12
6.02-5	Mainline Component B-Extend Flex Lane	6-14
6.02-6	Mainline Component C-Plus One GP Lane	6-15
6.02-7	Local Road System Crossings and Connections Components Considered in the Beltline PEL Study	l 6-20
6.02-8	Local Road System Crossings and Connections Component A-	0 20
0.02 0	West of Gammon Road Crossing	6-21
6.02-9	Local Road System Crossings and Connections Components B-	0 2 1
	East of Gammon Road Crossing	6-23
6.02-10	Local Road System Crossings and Connections Components	0 20
	Component C–West of Whitney Way Crossing	6-24
6.02-11	Local Road System Crossings and Connections Component D–West of	5 ∠ f
5.0 <u>~</u> 11	Park Street Crossing	6-26
6.02-12	Local Road System Crossings and Connections Component E–Crossing	0 20
J.UL 12	US 14 Near Stewart Street	6-28

TABLE OF CONTENTS Continued

6.02-13	Connection E Superimposed on the cities of Fitchburg and Madison	0.00
6.02-14	Zoning MapsLocal Road System Crossings and Connections Component F–	6-29
0.02 11	West Broadway and John Nolen Drive Connection	6-30
6.02-15	Use of Existing Pedestrian and Bicycle Beltline Crossings	6-31
6.02-16	Pedestrian and Bicycle Components Considered in the Beltline PEL Study	
6.02-17	Pedestrian and Bicycle Component A–North of Old Sauk Road	6-35
6.02-17	Pedestrian and Bicycle Component B–South of Old Sauk Road	6-37
6.02-19	Pedestrian and Bicycle Component C–West of Whitney Way	6-38
6.02-19		0-30
0.02-20	Pedestrian and Bicycle Components D and E–Crossing of Whitney Way	
	North of the Beltline and East-West Path Connection from	c 20
0.00.04	Beltline Path to Southwest Commuter Path	6-39
6.02-21	Pedestrian and Bicycle Crossings and Connections Component F-	
	East-West Path Connection from Seminole Highway	0.44
	to Cannonball Path (North)	6-41
6.02-22	Pedestrian and Bicycle Component G-East-West Path Connection from	
	Seminole Highway to Cannonball Path (South)	6-42
6.02-23	Pedestrian and Bicycle Component H–Crossing West of Park Street	6-43
6.02-24	Pedestrian and Bicycle Component I–Crossing of US 14 South of the	
	Beltline Near Stewart Street	6-44
6.02-25	Pedestrian and Bicycle Component J-Connection from Monona Drive	
	to Stoughton Road	6-45
6.02-26	Pedestrian and Bicycle Component K–Connection from Femrite Drive to	
	County N	6-46
6.02-27	Pedestrian and Bicycle Component X–West Towne Path Connection	6-48
6.02-28	Pedestrian and Bicycle Component Y-Connection through CSSRA	6-49
6.02-29	Potential Combined Components	6-50
6.02-30	SW Region Park and Ride System Study Recommendations	6-51
6.02-31	Park and Ride Components	6-52
6.02-32	2012 AM Peak Period (6 to 9 A.M.) Traffic Traveling to	
	Central Employment Centers	6-53
6.02-33	2012 PM Peak Period (3 to 6 P.M.) Traffic Traveling from Central	0 00
0.02 00	Employment Centers	6-54
6.02-34	TSP Representation	6-61
6.02-35	Median Bus Lane Representation	6-62
6.02-36	Queue Jump Representation	6-63
6.02-37	Transit Priority Components Considered	6-65
6.02-38	Weekday Metro Crossings of the Beltline	6-66
6.03-1	Beltline Most Critical Weave Improvements	6-72
6.03-2	Beltline Moderately Critical Weave Improvements	6-73
	·	
6.03-3	Beltline Lower Priority Weave Section Improvements	6-74
6.03-4	Beltline High Priority Interchanges	6-75
6.03-5	Beltline Mid-Priority Interchanges	6-76
6.03-6	Beltline Low-Priority Interchanges	6-77
6.03-7	Composite Feedback for the Interchange Components	6-90
6.03-8	Composite Feedback for the Local Road Crossings and	0.04
0.00.0	Connections Components	6-91
6.03-9	Composite Feedback for the Pedestrian and Bicycle Components	6-92
6.03-10	Composite Feedback for the Park and Ride Components	6-93
6.03-11	Composite Feedback for the Transit Priority Components	6-94
6.03-12	Local Road System Crossings and Connections Components Modeled to	
	Assess Accessibility Benefits	3-101

TABLE OF CONTENTS Continued

6.03-13	Local Road System Crossings and Connections Components Accessibilit	
	Benefits Results	6-101
6.03-14	Pedestrian and Bicycle Components Modeled to Assess Accessibility	6 100
6.03-15	Benefits Pedestrian and Bicycle Accessibility Benefits Results	
6.03-16		
	Park and Ride Components Accessibility Benefits Results	
6.03-17	Park and Ride Components Combinations Accessibility Benefits Results	
6.03-18	Park and Ride Components Accessibility Priority	
6.03-19	Transit Priority Components Modeled to Assess Accessibility Benefits	6-107
7.02-1	SP1 Components	7-2
7.02-2	SP1 Anticipated 2050 LOS E and F Locations	7-3
7.02-3	SP 2 Mainline Components	7-4
7.02-4	SP 2 Weave Components	7-5
7.02-5	SP 2 Interchange Components	
7.02-6	SP 2 Local Road System Crossings and Connections Components	
7.02-7	SP 2 Pedestrian and Bicycle Components	7-9
7.02-7	SP 2 Park and Ride Components	7-10
7.02-9	SP 2 Transit Priority Components	7-11
7.02-10	SP 2 Anticipated 2050 LOS E and F Locations	7-12
7.02-11	SP 2 Components	
7.02-12	SP 3 Mainline Components	7-14
7.02-13	SP 3 Weave Components	
7.02-14	SP 3 Interchange Components	7-16
7.02-15	SP 3 Local Road System Crossings and Connections Components	7-17
7.02-16	SP 3 Pedestrian and Bicycle Components	7-18
7.02-17	SP 3 Park and Ride Components	7-19
7.02-18	SP 3 Transit Priority Components	7-20
7.02-19	SP 3 Anticipated 2050 LOS E and F Locations	7-21
7.02-20	SP 3 Components	7-22
7.02-21	SP 4 Mainline Components	7-23
7.02-22	SP 4 Weave Components	
7.02-23	SP 4 Interchange Components	
7.02-24	SP 4 Local Road System Crossings and Connections Components	
7.02-25	SP 4 Pedestrian and Bicycle Components	
7.02-25	SP 4 Park and Ride Components	
7.02-20	SP 4 Transit Priority Components	7-20
7.02-28	SP 4 Anticipated 2050 LOS E and F Locations	7-30
7.02-29	SP 4 Components	7-31
7.03-1	Summary of Strategy Package Components	7-32
7.03-2	EJ Survey Quality of Life Question Results	7-37
7.03-3	EJ Survey Results of Preferred Types of Components Question	7-38
7.03-4	ICI Study Area	7-44
7.03-5	Total Accessibility Benefits for Trips Made by Walking	7-48
7.03-6	Relative Accessibility Benefits for Trips Made by Walking	7-49
7.03-7	Total Accessibility Benefits for Trips Made by Bicycling	7-50
7.03-8	Relative Accessibility Benefits for Trips Made by Bicycling	7-50
7.03-9	Total Accessibility Benefits for Trips Made by Riding the Bus	7-51
7.03-10	Relative Accessibility Benefits for Trips Made by Riding the Bus	7-52
7.03-11	Total Accessibility Benefits for Trips Made by Driving	7-53
7.03-12	Relative Accessibility Benefits for Trips Made by Driving	7-53
7.04-1	Draft Preferred Strategy Package Mainline Components	7-55
	Dianti iolorica chatogy i achago maninio componente	, 00

Page No.

TABLE OF CONTENTS Continued

	<u>or F</u>	ollowing
7.04-2	Draft Preferred Strategy Package Weave Components	7-56
7.04-3	Draft Preferred Strategy Package Interchange Components	7-57
7.04-4	Draft Preferred Strategy Package Local Road System Crossings and	
	Connections Components	7-58
7.04-5	Draft Preferred Strategy Package Pedestrian and Bicycle Components	7-59
7.04-6	Draft Preferred Strategy Package Park and Ride Components	7-60
7.04-7	Draft Preferred Strategy Package Transit Priority Components	7-61
7.04-8	All Components Included in the Draft Preferred Strategy Package	7-62
7.04-9	Final Preferred Strategy Package	7-65
7.05-1	Logical Termini for the Beltline Corridor	7-67
7.05-2	Proposed Future NEPA Sections and Timing	7-73
8.01-1	Beltline PEL Study Objectives	8-2
8.01-2	Preserve and Maintain SP 1 Components	8-4
8.01-3	All Components Included in the Preferred Strategy Package	8-5
8.02-1	Proposed Future NEPA Sections and Timing	8-9

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A-PEL STUDY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS APPENDIX B-MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING APPENDIX C-OUTREACH

APPENDIX C-1-AGENCIES

APPENDIX C-2-LOCAL OFFICIALS/INTEREST GROUPS

APPENDIX C-3-PIM AND EJ

APPENDIX C-4-TAC AND PAC

APPENDIX D-OBJECTIVES SCREENING FOR IMPROVEMENT COMPONENTS

APPENDIX E-CONCEPTUAL LAYOUT FOR IMPROVEMENT COMPONENTS

APPENDIX F-TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MODELING RESULTS

APPENDIX G-OBJECTIVES SCREENING FOR STRATEGY PACKAGES

APPENDIX H-INDIRECT AND CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

APPENDIX I- ACCESSIBILITY ANALYSIS

ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS

AASHTO American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials

APA-WI American Planning Association—Wisconsin Chapter

ATR Automatic Traffic Recorder

AWSC All-Way Stop-Control

BIC Beltline Interchange with I-39/90

BIIM Beltline Improvements Investigations Memorandum

BRT Bus Rapid Transit

BSHP WisDOT Bureau of State Highway Programs

Cambridge Cambridge Systematics

CAP-X Capacity Analysis for Planning of Junctions
CARPC Capital Area Regional Planning Commission

CAV Connected and Automated Vehicles

CBD Central Business District
CD Collector-Distributor Roads
Centro Hispano Centro Hispano of Dane County
CEQ Council on Environmental Quality

CP Coordination Plan

CSSRA Capital Springs State Recreation Area

DATCP Department of Agriculture, Trade, and Consumer Protection

DDI Diverging Diamond Interchange

DHV Design Hour Volume
DLT Displaced Left Turn

DPTSU Dynamic Part-Time Shoulder Use

Dunn Town of Dunn, Wisconsin

EAI Encroachment-Alteration Impacts

ECR Existing Conditions Report

EDC Everyday Counts

EIS Environmental Impact Statement

EJ Environmental Justice

FAST Act Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act

FDM Facilities Development Manual FEC Future, Existing, and Committed

FEMA Federal Emergency Management Association

FHWA Federal Highway Administration FTA Federal Transit Administration

GP General Purpose

HCM Highway Capacity Manual
HCS Highway Capacity Software

HOT High Occupancy Toll
HOV High Occupancy Vehicle

HSIP Highway Safety Improvement Program

IAM Impact Analysis Methodology

IIIM Interchange Improvement Investigations Memorandum

IGI Induced-Growth Impacts

ITS Intelligent Transportation Systems

K30 30th Highest Hourly Volume

L&F Leonard & Finco Public Relations, Inc.

LCS Lane-Use Control Sign
LEP Limited English Proficiency

LOS Level of Service

LPA Locally Preferred Alternative

LTS Level of Traffic Stress

MAP 21 Act Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act MATPB Madison Area Transportation Planning Board

Metro City of Madison Metro Transit

MIIRR Mainline and Interchanges Improvements Refinement Report

MMSD Madison Metropolitan School District MOU Memorandum of Understanding

mph Miles Per Hour

MPO Metropolitan Planning Organization
NEPA National Environmental Policy Act

NOI Notice of Intent

NPS National Park Service

NRCS Natural Resources Conservation Service

OD Origin-Destination

PAC Policy Advisory Committee

PEL Planning and Environment Linkages

PIM Public Involvement Meeting
PIP Public Involvement Plan
QWS Queue Warning System

RCN Reconnecting Communities and Neighborhoods

RNSE Root Normalized Squared Error SOV Single-Occupancy Vehicle SPUI Single Point Urban Interchange SSR Stand-Alone Strategies Report

STH State Trunk Highway
Strand Strand Associates, Inc.®

TAC Technical Advisory Committee TADI Traffic Analysis & Design, Inc.

TAFIS Traffic Analysis and Forecasting Information System

TDM Travel Demand Model

TEOpS Traffic Engineering, Operations, and Safety

TFS WisDOT Central Office Traffic Forecasting Section

TIP Transportation Improvement Program

TLAP Time Lapse Aerial Photography
TPM Transportation Planning Manual

Transport 2020 Transport 2020 New Starts Application

TSP Transit Signal Priority
US United States Highway

USACE United States Army Corps of Engineers

USC United States Code

USDA United States Department of Agriculture

USEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

UW University of Wisconsin v/c Volume-to-Capacity

Vandewalle & Associates, Inc.

vpd Vehicles Per Day vph Vehicles Per Hour

WDNR/DNR Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

WHS-SHPO Wisconsin Historical Society State Historic Preservation Officer

WIS Wisconsin State Highway

WisDOT Wisconsin Department of Transportation

WSOR Wisconsin and Southern Railroad

<u>Components</u>—Components are multimodal improvements that when combined can address the Beltline PEL Study Goal and Objectives. The range of Components considered include multiple travel modes, such as driving, walking, bicycling, or riding transit. Some examples include adding a travel lane to the Beltline mainline, building a new roadway that crosses the Beltline, or building a new multiuse path parallel to the Beltline.

<u>Component Concept</u>—Component Concepts are planning-level layouts of individual improvements. Many Component Concepts were initially developed for the Beltline Interchange Improvement Investigations Memorandum (BIIM) and the Interchange Improvement Investigations Memorandum (IIIM).

<u>Stand-Alone Strategy</u>—An improvement strategy with high people-moving potential that, if constructed, might eliminate or reduce the need to complete additional Beltline mainline improvements to satisfy Root Beltline PEL Study Objectives for improving safety and reducing traffic congestion.

<u>Strategy Package</u>—An assembled group of multimodal Components designed to create a long-term vision for improvements on and near the Beltline corridor.