

Component Screening Beltline Capacity Imp	provement Component Screening (Screening termin	ology No, Somewhat, Yes)		
	Preserve and Maintain	Extend Flex Lane	Add One Lane	Weave Improvements
COMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY				
1. Improve Safety for all Modes - this Component addresses	1			
<ul> <li>bicycle-motor vehicle crashes (or conflicts) near the alignment and Beltline Corridor?</li> <li>Does the Component provide the opportunity to decrease</li> </ul>	No (1.A and 1.B)  •Because of freeway mode restrictions, other  Components would need to address bike/ped safety.		No (1.A and 1.B)  •Because of freeway mode restrictions, other  Components would need to address bike/ped safety.	No (1.A and 1.B)  •Because of freeway mode restrictions, other  Components would need to address bike/ped safety.
pedestrian-motor vehicle crashes (or conflicts) near the alignment and Beltline Corridor?				
Does this Component provide the opportunity to decrease motor vehicle crashes on the Beltline Corridor?	No •This Component would not make any changes to the Beltline.		Adding capacity that is used by more vehicles relieves congestion which typically decreases motor vehicle crashes.	Adding weave improvements eliminates conflicts with crossing traffic streams, which typically decreases motor vehicle crashes.
2. Address Beltline infrastructure condition and deficiencies				
Does the Component have the potential to address Beltline	Yes •This Component would probably be associated with full reconstruction of the Beltline.		This Component would probably be associated with full reconstruction of the Beltline.	•This Component would probably be associated with full reconstruction of the Beltline.
3. Improve system mobility for all modes - this Component add				
Does the Component provide the opportunity to increase or improve routes for transit service?	No •This Component would only include activities to preserve and maintain the existing pavement and structures on the Beltline.		No •No transit routes currently use the Beltline.	No transit routes currently use the Beltline.
Does the Component have the potential to provide measures	No •This Component would only include activities to preserve and maintain the existing pavement and structures on the Beltline.	No •Transit has no advantage over auto if all vehicles use shoulders.	•Transit has no advantage over auto if all vehicles use all lanes.	•Transit has no advantage over auto if all vehicles use the weave improvements.
Does the Component have the potential to address conditions that lead to unstable traffic flow on the Beltline?	No  •This Component would only include activities to preserve and maintain the existing pavement and structures on the Beltline.	of the capacity as a traditional freeway lane. •Initial modeling indicates that in 2050 with one full additional lane (shoulder running typically carries only half a full lane), the Beltline will operate at LOS D from US 14 to US 151 and LOS E/F from US 151 to I-39. •It is anticipated that travel time reliability would improve during peak periods. •Any incident (crash/breakdown) requiring the use of the shoulder would remove any operational benefits.	to US 151 and LOS E/F from US 151 to I-39. An additional lane (2 total) improves LOS by one level.	unstable flow. •It is anticipated that travel time reliability would be improved during all hours, depending on the number of weave improvments added.
	No  •This Component would only include activities to preserve and maintain the existing pavement and structures on the Beltline.	volume reduction on the Beltline Corridor. •Studies indicate allowing shoulder running will provide about one-half the capacity of a full lane during peak periods.	•Adding conventional lanes will provide additional capacity. •Initial modeling indicates that with one additional lane in 2050, the Beltline will operate at LOS D from US 14 to US 151 and LOS E/F from US 151 to I-39. An additional lane (2 total) improves LOS by one level.	

Ind	ividual Component Screening Beltline Capacity Imp	rovement Component Screening (Screening termina	ology-No, Somewhat, Yes)		
		Preserve and Maintain	Extend Flex Lane	Add One Lane	Weave Improvements
CO	MPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY				
o [	Does the component provide more attractive/viable alternative	preserve and maintain the existing pavement and structures on the Beltline.	<ul><li>This component makes the Beltline a more attractive route.</li><li>Other components/connections could address this</li></ul>	No This component makes the Beltline a more attractive route. Other components/connections could address this criterion.	No This component makes the Beltline a more attractive route. Other components/connections could address this criterion.
P	Will the strategy provide a reduction in motor vehicle trips?	No •This component would only include activities to	No •Other components would address mode transfers. •This component would not reduce motor-vehicle	No  Other components would address mode transfers. This component would not reduce motor-vehicle trips.	No Other components would address mode transfers. This component would not reduce motor-vehicle trips.
4. l	imit impacts to a responsible level of social, cultural, and	environmental effects See detailed screening shee	ets in appendix		
Q a	How well does the component avoid effects to environmental and human resources?	preserve and maintain the existing pavement and	lanes. •Most of the proposed Flex Lane extension is anticipated to be added to the available median width	Impacts would occur with added lane. Impacts to utility poles are likely. Arboretum impacts likely, a Section 106, 4(f), and 6(f) resource Capitol Springs Recreation Area impacts likely	Impacts would occur with weaving imprvovemnts.     Residential and commercial relocations are likely.     Impacts to parking are likely.     Impacts to utility poles are likely.     Capitol Springs Recreation Area impacts likely.
5. I	Enhance efficient multimodal access to economic centers.				
	Does the component acknowledge capacity limitations in the		<ul> <li>Adding capacity to the Beltline may relieve some parallel local routes.</li> <li>Adding capacity may increase traffic volumes on the</li> </ul>	Somewhat     Adding capacity to the Beltline may relieve some parallel local routes.     Adding capacity may increase traffic volumes on the arterials with service interchanges.	Somewhat  •Adding weave improvements does not technically add capacity, however, it does potentially eliminate bottlenecks.
s [		No •This component would only include activities to preserve and maintain the existing pavement and structures on the Beltline.	Additional capacity on the Beltline improves the connection for motor vehicles between economic centers served by the Beltline. Other components would need to address connections to economic centers for bike/ped and	•An additional lane on the Beltline improves the connection for motor vehicles between economic centers served by the Beltline. •Other components would need to address connections to economic centers for bike/ped and transit.	•Adding weave improvements does not technically add capacity, however, it does potentially eliminate bottlenecks. •Other components would need to address connections to economic centers for bike/ped and transit.
Т			Adding capacity to the Beltline may eliminate locations where mainline congestion is significant enough that on-ramp traffic backs into ramp terminal intersections. This is a rare occurrence today but could become more common if demand continues to increase.  Generally, other components would need to address	No  •Adding capacity to the Beltline may eliminate locations where mainline congestion is significant enough that on-ramp traffic backs into ramp terminal intersections. This is a rare occurrence today but could become more common if demand continues to increase.  •Generally, other components would need to address this criterion	•Adding weave improvements does not technically add capacity, however, it does potentially eliminate bottlenecks. Where mainline congestion is significant enough that on-ramp traffic backs into ramp terminal intersections this could be a benefit. This is a rare occurrence today but could become more common if demand continues to increase. •Generally, other components would need to address this criterion
6. I	Decrease Beltline diversion impacts to neighborhood stree	rts			
U t	Does the component create traffic volumes on streets/roads	No •This component would only include activities to	Adding capacity to the Beltline may reduce volumes	•Adding capacity to the Beltline may reduce volumes on some parallel local routes.	•Adding weave improvements does not technically add capacity, however, it does potentially eliminate bottlenecks which may reduce volumes on some parallel local routes.
7. (	Complement other major transportation initiatives and stud	lies in the Madison area			

In	ndividual Component Screening Beltline Capacity Improvement Component Screening (Screening terminology-No, Somewhat, Yes)												
		Preserve and Maintain	Extend Flex Lane	Add One Lane	Weave Improvements								
C	OMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY												
٧	Is the component consistent with, not contradictory to, or an impediment to implementation of a proposal by another project?	•This component would only include activities to preserve and maintain the existing pavement and structures on the Beltline. This aligns with several TAC and PAC member opinions that capacity should not	•An extension of the Flex Lane is not in current local	local plans and generally oppposed by TAC and PAC	Somewhat  •Addition of weaving imrpovements is not in current local plans; however, it may be more acceptable to the Greater Madison MPO and other TAC and PAC members that have said they are generally oppposed to adding a general purpose lane.								

ocal Road System Crossings & Connections	(Screening terminology No, Somewhat, Yes)					
	A W of Gammon	B E of Gammon	C W of Whitney	D W of Park St	E US 14 Near Stewart St	F W Broadway to John Nolen Dr
OMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY	W Of Gammon	L of Gaillilon	W or Williney	W OI Faik St	03 14 Near Stewart St	W Broadway to John Noteri Di
Improve Safety for all modes						
decrease bicycle-motor vehicle crashes (or conflicts)		No (1.A and 1.B)  •A dedicated ped/bike crossing already exists at this location.	Yes (1.A and 1.B)  *This crossing would provide an alternate route for peds and cyclists to bypass the Whitney Way interchange, which is difficult for peds/bikes to navigate.	Somewhat (1.A and 1.B)  *While it provides a grade-separated crossing of the Beltline, this crossing would not remove pedestrians or cyclists from the Park Street interchange where they are already prohibited.  *This crossing may reduce peds/cyclists traveling through the Fish Hatchery interchange.	No (1.A and 1.B) •This crossing provides a connection between two r areas that are currently unconnected. It is unlikely to reduce ped/bike crossings of nearby interchanges.	No (1.A and 1.B) •This connection already exists for pedestrians and bicycles.
2 Does the sempencial provide the opportunity to	No •It is unlikely this crossing would have much effect on Beltline crashes.	No • It is unlikely this crossing would have much effect on Beltline crashes.	No • It is unlikely this crossing would have much effect on Beltline crashes.	No • It is unlikely this crossing would have much effect on Beltline crashes.	No It is unlikely this crossing would have much effect on Beltline crashes.	Somewhat  *Up to 45 percent of the westbound traffic entering the Beltline at West Broadway take the first downstream exit at John Nolen Drive. This connection would reduveaving volumes on the Beltline and may reduce crashes.
. Address Beltline infrastructure condition and defic	iencies. NOTE: None of the connections directly addre	ss Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard	elements.		<u>'</u>	
	No •This strategy component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard elements.	No •This strategy component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard elements.	No •This strategy component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard elements.	No •This strategy component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard elements.	No •This strategy component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard elements.	No •This strategy component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard elements.
Improve system mobility (congestion) for all mode	es					
l'	Yes •This grade-separated crossing would include sidewalks	Somewhat •This grade-separated crossing would include sidewalks BUT a dedicated ped/bike crossing already exists here.	Yes •This grade-separated crossing would include sidewalks.	Yes •This grade-separated crossing would include sidewalks.	Yes •This grade-separated crossing would include sidewalks.	Somewhat  •This new connection would include sidewalks BUT aped/bike connection already exists here.
complete the pedestrian network near and across the Beltline Corridor?		No • A pedestrian crossing already exists here. Adding motor vehicles would make it less desirable for walking.	Yes  • This grade separation would connect residential areas south of Beltline with commercial areas north of Beltline.	Somewhat     This grade-separated crossing would include sidewalks, but does not connect to existing pedestrian destinations.     Connects to south transfer point, providing ped access.	Somewhat  • This grade separation would connect two isolated business areas.	Somewhat  •The parallel local road, Nana Lane, currently does n have sidewalks.
G Does this component provide corresponding bicycle facilities?	Yes •This grade-separated crossing would include bike accommodations.	Yes •This grade-separated crossing would include bike accommodations.	Yes •This grade-separated crossing would include bike accommodations.	Yes     This grade-separated crossing would include bike accommodations.	Yes  •This grade-separated crossing would include bike accommodations.	No •A bicycle connection already exists at this location.
H Does this component have the potential to address bike network gaps (deficiencies) along and across the Beltline?	Somewhat  Could provide a secondary connection to Elver Park.	No • Dedicated bike/ped crossing already exists at this location. Adding motor vehicles would make it less desirable for biking.	Gives access to a primary route from a secondary route without using Whitney Way interchange.     Provides an opportunity to provide a N/S routing opportunity between Segoe and Gammon.	Yes  •Provides the opportunity to connect a secondary bike route in Madison with Syene Road, a Fitchburg growth area.		No •This connection could adversely affect Lake Loop crossing and Capital City trail crossing. More investigation would be necessary.
convenient auto to bicycle mode transfers?	No •This component does not directly address mode transfers.	Somewhat •This crossing could allow commuters to park in commercial areas south of the Beltline and travel by bike to employment centers north of the Beltline.	•This crossing could allow commuters to park in areas south of the Beltline and travel by bike to employment centers north of the Beltline, such as University Research Park.	Somewhat  •This crossing could encourage commuters to park south of the Beltline and use this crossing to get to employment centers north of the Beltline.	No •This path probably would not facilitate commuters parking with a mode transfer to bike.	No •This strategy component does not directly address mode transfers.
J Does this component increase or improve routes for transit service?	Somewhat •Could provide alternate route for routes H and J.	No •Provides alternate route for routes H and J - yet these routes need to serve West Towne	Somewhat •Provides alternate routes for route D1, E and J.	Somewhat •Provides alternate route for routes H and 75.	Yes Improves/affects routes G, H, and 65.	No •Might provide alternate route for route G, but would likely mean the areas south of the Beltline currently served by route G would not be served.
measures that make transit more competitive with	No •While it improves access to West Towne shopping plaza area, there is no time advantage over auto.	No Improves bus access to businesses while avoiding the highly congested Gammon Road Interchange, the routes need to serve West Towne mall.  No time advantage over auto.	Provides alternate access to west transfer point giving relief to the overused Whitney Way interchange by many bus routes.  No time advantage over auto.	Yes  •Provides a bypass to the Park Street and Fish Hatchery Road interchanges to the south transfer point. •No time advantage over auto.	Somewhat  Could improve the service of routes 16,18,40,49 on south side of Madison.  No time advantage over auto.	Avoids the congested West Broadway Interchange, yet only route 12 goes from Broadway directly to Joh Nolen     No time advantage over auto.
L Does this component provide the opportunity for convenient transit mode transfers?	Somewhat • People could park in this commercial area and access transit at West Towne.	No Park and rides are not included in this component.	Somewhat  Park and rides are not included in this component. Provides a direct connection to the West Transfer Station.	Somewhat  Park and rides are not included in this component.  Provides a direct connection to South Transfer Station.	Somewhat  Park and rides are not included in this component.  Would provide access to transit west of US 14	No Park and rides are not included in this component.
M Does this component have the potential to address conditions that lead to unstable traffic flow on the Beltline?	No •This crossing does not address Beltline mainline congestion.	No •This crossing does not address Beltline mainline congestion.	No •This crossing does not address Beltline mainline congestion.	No •This crossing does not address Beltline mainline congestion.	No •This crossing does not address Beltline mainline congestion.	Somewhat  *Up to 45 percent of the westbound traffic entering the Beltline at West Broadway take the first downstream exit at John Nolen Drive. This connection would substantially reduce weaving volumes on the Beltline and may reduce crashes.
N Does this component provide a substantial traffic volume reduction on the Beltline Corridor, a substantial Beltline capacity increase, or a combination of these?	No •This crossing does not substantially reduce traffic volumes on the Beltline.	No •This crossing does not substantially reduce traffic volumes on the Beltline.	No •This crossing does not substantially reduce traffic volumes on the Beltline.	No •This crossing does not substantially reduce traffic volumes on the Beltline.	No •This crossing does not substantially reduce traffic volumes on the Beltline.	Somewhat  Up to 45 percent of the westbound traffic entering the Beltline at West Broadway take the first downstream exit at John Nolen Drive. This connection could substantially reduce weaving volumes, improving Beltline operations.
alternative routes to the Beltline for local trips?	Somewhat •This crossing could reduce trips from Gammon Road to Mineral Point Road.	Somewhat •This crossing could reduce trips from Whitney Way to Gammon Road.	Somewhat •This crossing could reduce trips from Whitney Way to Gammon Road.	Yes •This crossing provides access to an isolated portion of the city that is currently mainly accessed by Fish Hatchery Road.	Yes •This crossing provides access from areas mainly accessed by Fish Hatchery Road to areas mainly access by Rimrock Road.	Yes  This crossing provides access between West Broadway and John Nolen Drive without traveling on the Beltline.

ocal Road System Crossings & Connections	(Screening terminology No, Somewhat, Yes)					
	A	В	С	D	E	F
	W of Gammon	E of Gammon	W of Whitney	W of Park St	US 14 Near Stewart St	W Broadway to John Nolen Dr
DMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY						
Will the strategy provide a reduction in motor vehicle		No	No	No	No	No
trips?	Additional connectivity probably will not greatly influence mode choice.	Additional connectivity probably will not greatly influence mode choice.	•Crossing may improve transit routing times but probably would not greatly influence mode choice.	Crossing may improve transit routing times but probably would not greatly influence mode choice.	Additional connectivity probably will not greatly influence mode choice.	•Additional connectivity probably will not greatly influence mode choice.
Limit impacts to a responsible level of social, cult	ural, and environmental effects.		'	<u>'</u>	<u>'</u>	
How well does this component avoid effects to	Connection could impact primarily commercial	Connection could impact primarily commercial	Connection could impact primarily commercial	•Crossing could impact primarily commercial and light	•Connection could impact wetland areas near US 14.	•Connection could impact primarily residential land
environmental and human resources?	properties on both the north and south sides of the	properties on both the north and south sides of the	properties north of the Beltline and residential	industrial on both sides of the Beltline.	Some impacts to light industrial.	uses north of the Beltline.
	Beltline.	Beltline.	properties south of the Beltline.			
Enhance efficient multimodal access to economic						
Does this component acknowledge capacity	Somewhat	Somewhat	Somewhat	Somewhat	Somewhat	No
limitations in the connecting municipal arterial	The state of the s	Crossing could reduce volumes on Whitney Way by	•Crossing could reduce volumes on Whitney Way by	•Crossing could reduce volumes on Park Street and	Crossing could reduce volumes on Fish Hatchery	Connection would impact a residential area and li
network (near the Beltline?)	vpd. <sup>1</sup>	1500 to 4000 vpd. <sup>1</sup>	4000 to 7800 vpd. <sup>1</sup>	Fish Hatchery Road (-2000 vpd).1	Road by 1300 vpd. <sup>1</sup>	require reconstruction and reclassification of the
	<ul> <li>Crossing could reduce volumes on Highpoint Road by</li> </ul>	•Crossing could reduce volumes on Gammon Road by	<ul> <li>Crossing could reduce volumes on Gammon by 1200</li> </ul>	Crossing would increase volumes on Badger Road	Crossing would increase volumes on Greenway	streets used. It could carry up to 9000 vpd.1
	1000 vpd. <sup>1</sup>	3500 to 5300 vpd. <sup>1</sup>	to 3500 vpd. <sup>1</sup>	and Park/Badger intersection.	Cross by 3000 vpd and at the Fish Hatchery	
		Crossing would increase volumes on Schroeder	Crossing would increase volumes on Schroeder		Road/Greenway Cross intersection. <sup>1</sup>	
		Road.	Road.			
Does this component provide connections to	Yes	Yes	Yes	Somewhat	Yes	Somewhat
economic centers for all modes?	Crossing links two major retail areas.	•Crossing links residential areas to commercial/retail area.	Crossing links residential area to commercial/retail area.	Crossing connects light industrial area with low density light industrial area.	Crossing links two light industrial areas.	•Connection links residential area to John Nolen Di
Can this component improve Beltline interchange	Yes	Yes	Yes	Somewhat	Somewhat	Somewhat
operation?	Crossing could reduce volumes on Gammon	Crossing could reduce volumes on Whitney Way	Crossing could reduce volumes on Whitney Way	Crossing could reduce volumes on Park Street and	Crossing could reduce volumes on Fish Hatchery	<ul> <li>Crossing could reduce volumes using the West</li> </ul>
	interchange (-5100 vpd).1	interchange by 1500 to 4000 vpd.1	interchange by 4000 to 7800 vpd.1	Fish Hatchery Road 2000 vpd interchanges. <sup>1</sup>	Road by 1300 vpd. <sup>1</sup>	Broadway interchange by 4000 vpd.1
		Crossing could reduce volumes on Gammon	Crossing could reduce volumes on Gammon			
		interchange by 3500 to 5300 vpd.1	interchange by 1200 to 3500 vpd. <sup>1</sup>			
Decrease Beltline diversion impacts to neighborh	ood streets. NOTE: See the following sheets for details.					
Does this component create traffic volumes on	Somewhat	Yes	Yes	Somewhat	Yes	No
streets/roads that are compatible with their functional	•Would increase volumes on Watts Road by 5800 vpd.	•Would increase volumes on Schroeder Road by 1300	•Would increase volumes on Schroeder Road by 1500	•Would increase volumes on Badger Road by 1600	•Would increase volumes on Greenway Cross by	•Would increase volumes in the residential
classification, adjacent land use, and available		vpd. <sup>1</sup>	vpd. <sup>1</sup>	vpd. <sup>1</sup>	3000 vpd. <sup>1</sup>	neighborhood north of the Beltline by 7000 to 8000
capacity?		•Would increase volumes on Odana Road by 1100	•Would increase volumes on Odana Road by 6000	l'	· ·	or more.1
		vpd. <sup>1</sup>	vpd. <sup>1</sup>			
Complement other major transportation initiative	s and studies in the Madison area.					
Is this component consistent with, or not contradictor		Somewhat	Somewhat	Somewhat	Somewhat	Somewhat
to implementation of a proposal by another project?	•This component does not conflict with any known	•This component does not conflict with any known	•This component does not conflict with any known	•This component does not conflict with any known	•This component does not conflict with any known	•This component does not conflict with any known
	proposal by another project.	proposal by another project.	proposal by another project.	proposal by another project.	proposal by another project.	proposal by another project.
nallenges or Additional Comments.						
Are there unique challenges to implementing the	No	Could duplicate or degrade current bike/ped	Would require relatively steep street profiles.	Would require relatively steep street profiles.	Would require relatively steep street profiles.	Considerable challenges associated with a railroa
component or other items worth noting?		underpass.	•Current tower guy wires create ice hazard concerns.	Has been in previous plans and opposed by city		crossing, a crossing of the Lake Loop, and a cross
			Neighborhood has voiced opposition in past.	alder.		of the Capital City trail.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on preliminary demand modeling analysis of the proposed connection or crossing.

Inc	lividual Components Screening Bike and Pedestriar	Component Screening (	Screening terminology-No, Son	newhat, Yes)				
		A	В	C	D	E	F	G
		N of Old Sauk	S of old Sauk	W of Whitney Way	Crossing of Whitney Way	Beltline Path to SW Path	Seminole Highway to Cannonball North	Seminole Highway to Cannonball South
	MPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY							
	Improve Safety for all modes							
	bicycle-motor vehicle crashes (or conflicts) near the alignment and Beltline Corridor?	pedestrians crossing at the	Somewhat (1.A and 1.B)  This crossing may reduce the number of bicyclists and pedestrians crossing at the Old Sauk Road interchange.	Yes (1.A and 1.B)  This crossing would provide an alternate route for pedestrians and bicyclists to bypass the Whitney Way interchange, which is difficult for these users to navigate. This is also an existing high use area.	separation between motor vehicle traffic and bicycle/ pedestrian traffic at this busy interchange.	This component provides a connection between two areas that are currently	Somewhat (1.A and 1.B)  This component provides a dedicated bicycle/ pedestrian path that serves as an alternative to using the frontage road on the south side of the Beltline, reducing interactions with motor vehicles.	Somewhat (1.A and 1.B)  This component provides a dedicated bicycle/ pedestrian path/cyclotrack that serves as an alternative to using the Beltline frontage road.
	Does the <b>component</b> provide the opportunity to decrease pedestrian-motor vehicle crashes (or conflicts) near the alignment and Beltline Corridor?					It is unlikely to substantially reduce pedestrian/bicycle crossings of nearby interchanges.		<ul> <li>This path/cyclotrack depending on location, could cross numerous commercial driveways, increasing conflicts with motor vehicles.</li> </ul>
	Does the <b>component</b> provide the opportunity to decrease motor vehicle crashes on the Beltline Corridor?	No  It is unlikely this crossing would have much effect on Beltline crashes, but may reduce crashes on nearby streets.	No  It is unlikely this crossing would have much effect on Beltline crashes, but may reduce crashes on nearby streets.	No  It is unlikely this crossing would have much effect on Beltline crashes, but may reduce crashes on nearby streets.	No It is unlikely this crossing would have much effect on Beltline crashes.  By reducing interchange conflicts, this component may help reduce ramp backups on the westbound exit ramp.	crashes on nearby streets.	No  It is unlikely this crossing would have much effect on Beltline crashes, but may reduce crashes on nearby streets.	No It is unlikely this crossing would have much effect on Beltline crashes,  Depending on future ramp configurations for the Todd Drive exit, this component could reduce conflicts between modes.
2. /	Address Beltline infrastructure condition and deficiencies			<u>'</u>				
	Does the <b>component</b> have the potential to address Beltline pavements, structures, and substandard elements?	<ul> <li>This component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or</li> </ul>	No This component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard elements.	No This component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard elements.	No This component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard elements.	No This component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard elements.	No This component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard elements.	No This component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard elements.
	Improve system mobility (congestion) for all modes	la.	l	lv.	ls.	ls.	124	lv.
E	Does the <b>component</b> provide corresponding pedestrian facilities?	Yes This component is a dedicated bicycle/pedestrian crossing.	Yes This component is a dedicated bicycle/pedestrian crossing.	Yes  This crossing would be a grade-separated street crossing with sidewalks, or a dedicated bicycle/pedestrian crossing.	Yes This component is a dedicated bicycle/pedestrian crossing BUT Whitney Way already has a crosswalk.	Yes  This component is a dedicated bicycle/pedestrian path.	Yes This component is a dedicated bicycle/pedestrian path.	Yes This component is a bicycle/ pedestrian facility, likely part of the street cross section.

In	dividual Components Screening Bike and Pedestrian	Component Screening (S	Screening terminology- <b>No, Som</b>	ewhat. Yes)				
		A	В	C C	D	E	F	G
		N of Old Sauk	S of old Sauk	W of Whitney Way	Crossing of Whitney Way	Beltline Path to SW Path	Seminole Highway to Cannonball North	Seminole Highway to Cannonball South
C	OMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY							
F	Does the <b>component</b> provide the opportunity to complete the pedestrian network <u>near and across the Beltline</u> Corridor?	Yes This crossing would connect planned secondary path to the east and west.	Yes This crossing would increase connectivity across the Beltline, from a secondary street bikeway east of the Beltline to two planned primary street and path bikeways west of the Beltline. Provides crossing for Junction Ridge neighborhood.	Yes This crossing would increase access across the Beltline between University Research Park and the Greentree neighborhood.	Somewhat  This component substantially enhances, but does not increase connectivity at a particularly difficult street crossing.	exist between the Southwest Path and Whitney Way, but is not in	Somewhat  This component provides a connection that does not currently exist between the Nakoma neighborhood and Todd Drive commercial area.  Because it is far from destinations, it may not see much pedestrian traffic.	Yes This component provides a connection that does not currently exist between the Arbor Hills and Allied neighborhoods.
G	Does the <b>component</b> provide corresponding bicycle facilities?	Yes This component is a dedicated bicycle/pedestrian crossing.	Yes This component is a dedicated bicycle/pedestrian crossing.	Yes This crossing would be a grade-separated street crossing including bike lanes, or a dedicated bicycle/pedestrian crossing.	Yes This component is a dedicated bicycle/pedestrian crossing.	Yes This component is a dedicated bicycle/ pedestrian path.	Yes This component is a dedicated bicycle/ pedestrian path.	Yes This component is a bicycle/ pedestrian facility, likely part of the street cross section.
H	Does the <b>component</b> have the potential to address bike network gaps (deficiencies) along and across the Beltline?	Somewhat  Although Old Sauk Road already has bike lanes, this crossing would connect planned secondary path to the east and west.	Yes This crossing would allow bicyclists to bypass the offset Junction Rd/Excelsior Dr intersections on Old Sauk Road.	Yes This crossing would connect secondary bikeways along Rosa Road to the north and Frisch Road to the south. This crossing would connect to the existing Beltline bike path between Whitney Way and Gammon Road	Yes - Although crosswalks exist, this component provides a bicycle-specific treatment that would substantially reduce conflicts with motor vehicles.	Yes  This component provides a major connection between the Southwest Path and Whitney Way, which does not currently exist.	Yes  This component provides a major connection between the Cannonball Path and Southwest Path without requiring users to cross the Beltline twice.	No This component enhances but does not increase connectivity.

Individual Components Screening Bike and Pedestrian	Component Screening (	Screening terminology- <b>No, Som</b>	1				
	A N of Old Sauk	B S of old Sauk	C W of Whitney Way	D Crossing of Whitney Way	E  Beltline Path to SW Path	F Seminole Highway to Cannonball North	G Seminole Highway to Cannonball South
COMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY				Way		Gairrottball Hottil	Gaintonian Godin
Does the <b>component</b> provide the opportunity for convenient auto to bicycle mode transfers?	No There is little public parking in this area. Some may use neighborhood streets for parking and changing modes, which may not be desirable.	No There is little public parking in this area. Some may use neighborhood streets for parking and changing modes, which may not be desirable.		Somewhat There are some commercial streets that could accommodate parked cars for changing modes.	Somewhat This could enhance the attractiveness of the SW Path as a commuter route. Cyclist could park south of the Beltline and use this path to employment centers near Whitney Way	No This path probably would not facilitate commuters parking with a mode transfer to bike.	No This path probably would not facilitate commuters parking with a mode transfer to bike.
Does the <b>component</b> increase or improve routes for transit service?	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian crossing would not serve transit routes.	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian crossing would not serve transit routes.	This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian crossing would not serve transit routes.	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian path would not serve transit routes.	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian path would not serve transit routes.	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian path would not serve transit routes.
Does the <b>component</b> have the potential to provide measures that make transit more competitive with auto? (Transit Priority)	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian crossing would not serve transit routes.	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian crossing would not serve transit routes.	This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian crossing would not serve transit routes.	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian path would not serve transit routes.	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian path would not serve transit routes.	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian path would not serve transit routes.
L Does the component provide the opportunity for convenient transit mode transfers?	This component increases bicycle and pedestrian access from Metro route F to the east side of the Beltline and from	Somewhat  This component increases bicycle and pedestrian access from Metro route F to the east side of the Beltline and from Metro Route R1 to the west side of the Beltline.	Somewhat  This crossing increases bicycle and pedestrian access to routes A and J from south of the Beltline.	Somewhat  This crossing enhances but does not increase transit access.	Yes This dedicated path connects Metro routes D1, E, and J to the Southwest Path.	Somewhat  This component would provide a bicycle and pedestrian connection between Metro routes D2 and B, O, and 75.	Somewhat  This component would provide a bicycle and pedestrian connection between Metro routes D2 and B, O, and 75.

Individual Components Screening Bike and Pedestria	n Component Screening (	Screening terminology- <b>No, Son</b>	newhat, Yes)				
	A	В	С	D Crossing of Whitney	Е	F Seminole Highway to	G Seminole Highway to
	N of Old Sauk	S of old Sauk	W of Whitney Way	Way	Beltline Path to SW Path	Cannonball North	Cannonball South
COMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY							
M Does the component have the potential to address conditions that lead to unstable traffic flow on the Beltline?	No This component does not address or impact Beltline traffic flow.	address or impact Beltline traffic flow.	No This component does not address or impact Beltline traffic flow.	No This component does not address or impact Beltline traffic flow.	No This component does not address or impact Beltline traffic flow.	No This component does not address or impact Beltline traffic flow.	No     This component does not address or impact Beltline traffic flow.     This component could reduce conflicts with bicycle and pedestrian traffic on the frontage road.
N Does the <b>component</b> provide a substantial traffic volume reduction on the Beltline Corridor, a substantial Beltline capacity increase, or a combination of these?	No This component does not address Beltline traffic volume or capacity.	No This component does not address Beltline traffic volume or capacity.	No This component does not address Beltline traffic volume or capacity.	No This component does not address Beltline traffic volume or capacity.	No This component does not address Beltline traffic volume or capacity.	No This component does not address Beltline traffic volume or capacity.	No This component does not address Beltline traffic volume or capacity.
O Does the component provide more attractive/viable alternative routes to the Beltline for local trips?	No This component does not provide alternative routes for motor vehicle traffic currently using the Beltline.	No This component does not provide alternative routes for motor vehicle traffic currently using the Beltline.	No This component does not provide alternative routes for motor vehicle traffic currently using the Beltline.	No This component does not provide alternative routes for motor vehicle traffic currently using the Beltline.	No This component does not provide alternative routes for motor vehicle traffic currently using the Beltline.	No This component does not provide alternative routes for motor vehicle traffic currently using the Beltline.	No This component does not provide alternative routes for motor vehicle traffic currently using the Beltline.
P Will the strategy provide a reduction in motor vehicle trips?	Somewhat  This component improves the bike network which could encourage mode shifts and the corresponding minor reduction in motor vehicle trips	Somewhat  This component improves the bike network which could encourage mode shifts and the corresponding minor reduction in motor vehicle trips	Somewhat  This component improves the bike network which could encourage mode shifts and the corresponding minor reduction in motor vehicle trips	Somewhat  This component enhances bicycle/pedestrian access across a major interchange but on its own may not encourage mode shifts.	Yes This component will likely encourage mode shifts by connecting the Southwest Path to the existing Beltline Path.	Somewhat  This component improves the bike network which could encourage mode shifts and the corresponding minor reduction in motor vehicle trips	Somewhat  This component improves the bike network which could encourage mode shifts and the corresponding minor reduction in motor vehicle trips

Inc	dividual Components Screening Bike and Pedestriar	Component Screening (	Screening terminology-No, Son	newhat, Yes)				
		А	В	С	D	Е	F	G
		N of Old Sauk	S of old Sauk	W of Whitney Way	Crossing of Whitney Way	Beltline Path to SW Path	Seminole Highway to Cannonball North	Seminole Highway to Cannonball South
_	OMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY							
	Limit impacts to a responsible level of social, cultural, and							
Q	How well does the <b>component</b> avoid effects to environmental and human resources? (List affected resources)	<ul> <li>This component would utilize an already- channelized creek corridor in order to cross the Beltline.</li> </ul>	This component might impact the Sauk Creek Park soccer field and minimal tree removal.		This component would mostly use existing clear right of way.	require right of way from the edge of the Odana	require right of way from the edge of the UW	<ul> <li>This component may require right of way from the south portion of the UW Arboretum, a Section 106, 4(f), and 6(f) resource.</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>This component would require right of way acquisition, but would not require residential or commercial relocations.</li> </ul>	This component would require right of way acquisition, but would not require residential or commercial displacements.	acquisition and possibly	This component may impact commercial parking in the northwest quadrant.	<ul> <li>This component would require a bridge or boardwalk over the edge of Odana pond and wetland area,</li> <li>Depending on alignment, this component might require right of way from up to 5 residential properties.</li> </ul>		This component could require right of way acquisition from commercial property frontage.
	Enhance efficient multimodal access to economic centers							
R	the connecting municipal arterial network (near the Beltline?)	Yes This component connects bike lanes and sidewalks on both sides that have adequate capacity for increased bicycle and pedestrian traffic.	Yes This component connects bike lanes and sidewalks on both sides that have adequate capacity for increased bicycle and pedestrian traffic.	This component connects the Beltline path to existing bike lanes and sidewalks on the south side of the Beltline that have adequate capacity		This component connects shared-use paths that have adequate capacity for increased bicycle and pedestrian	This component connects shared-use paths that have adequate capacity for increased bicycle and pedestrian	Yes This component connects shared-use paths that have adequate capacity for increased bicycle and pedestrian traffic.
		<ul> <li>This component also connects planned secondary paths on both sides.</li> </ul>	This component connects planned secondary and primary routes on both sides.					
S	centers for all modes? (focus is on <b>bikes and peds</b> for this component)	uses east of the Beltline to business centers west of the Beltline for bicycle and	connections from residential uses east of the Beltline to	This component provides connections to economic centers for bicycle, pedestrian, and transit modes.	Somewhat This component enhances (but does not provide new) connections to economic centers for bicycle and pedestrian modes.	This component improves connections to Whitney Way economic centers for bicycle and pedestrian oriented from	This component improves circumferential routes, but may not provide direct connections to employment centers.	Somewhat  This component enhances (but does not provide new) connections to economic centers for bicycle and pedestrian modes.  Motor vehicle connections to these areas are not affected by this component.

	Α	В	С	D	E	F _	G
	N of Old Sauk	S of old Sauk	W of Whitney Way	Crossing of Whitney Way	Beltline Path to SW Path	Seminole Highway to Cannonball North	Seminole Highway to Cannonball South
COMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY							
operation?	bicycle/pedestrian crossing, this component may reduce conflicts with motor vehicles	No By providing an alternative bicycle/pedestrian crossing, this component may reduce conflicts with motor vehicles at the Old Sauk Road interchange.	By providing an alternative crossing, this component would reduce ped/bike conflicts and	Somewhat  By grade-separating bicycle/pedestrian traffic, this crossing may reduce the amount of time devoted to pedestrians in the signal phasing.	No This component would not likely affect Beltline interchanges.	This component would not likely affect Beltline interchanges.	Somewhat This component may reduce conflicts, and therefore delays, at the braided ramps between Seminole Highway and Todd Drive.
6. Decrease Beltline diversion impacts to neighborhood stree	ets						
Does the <b>component</b> create traffic volumes on streets/roads		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes
	bikeways.	<ul> <li>This component connects streets classified as secondary bikeways.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>This component connects paths classified as primary bikeways.</li> </ul>	This component connects paths classified as primary bikeways.	as primary bikeways and streets classified as	<ul> <li>This component connects paths classifie as primary bikeways an streets classified as secondary bikeways.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Complement other major transportation initiatives and stu</li> </ol>							
plans/initiatives/ projects?	<ul> <li>This component has been part of the City of Madison and Greater</li> </ul>	Yes This component has been part of the City of Madison and Greater Madison MPO bike plans for several years.	Madison and Greater Madison MPO bike plans for several years.	Somewhat  This component is not part of any known plans or initiatives, but does not appear to be in conflict with local bicycle/pedestrian goals or priorities.	Yes This component has been part of the City of Madison and Greater Madison MPO bike plans for several years.	<ul> <li>The City of Madison and Greater Madison MPO bike plans show a</li> </ul>	Yes This component has been part of the City of Madison and Greater Madison MPO bike plans for several years.

Inc	dividual Components Screening Bike and Pedestriar	Component Screening	(Screening terminology-N	lo, Somewhat, Yes)			
		Н	1	J	K	X	Υ
		W of Park Street	Crossing of US 14	Monona Drive to Stoughton Road	Femrite to County N	W Towne Path	Cap Springs Rec Area
CC	DMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY						
1. l	Improve Safety for all modes						
	Does the <b>component</b> provide the opportunity to decrease bicycle-motor vehicle crashes (or conflicts) near the alignment and Beltline Corridor?	Somewhat (1A. and 1.B) This component provides a connection between two areas that are currently unconnected.	Somewhat (1A. and 1.B)  This component provides a connection between two areas that are currently unconnected.	Somewhat (1A. and 1.B) This component provides an alternative to the Stoughton Road interchange.	Somewhat (1A. and 1.B)  This component provides an alternative to riding on the shoulder of US-12/18.	between two areas that are currently unconnected. It also	Somewhat (1A. and 1.B)  This component provides a connection between two areas that are currently unconnected.
		It would provide an alternate route to the busy Fish Hatchery interchange.	This component could pull bikes off of County MM		bicycle use of the US- 12/18 shoulder.	connects two trails It is unlikely to substantially reduce pedestrian/bicycle crossings of nearby interchanges.	It would provide an alternate route to the busy W Broadway and Stoughton Road interchanges.
	Does the <b>component</b> provide the opportunity to decrease motor vehicle crashes <u>on the Beltline Corridor</u> ?	No  It is unlikely this crossing would have much effect on Beltline crashes, but may reduce crashes on nearby streets	No It is unlikely this crossing would have much effect on Beltline crashes, but may reduce crashes on nearby streets	No  It is unlikely this crossing would have much effect on Beltline crashes, but may reduce crashes on nearby streets	Somewhat  This component may reduce bicyclists riding on the shoulder of US 12/18 thereby reducing conflicts with motor vehicles	No  It is unlikely this crossing would have much effect on Beltline crashes, but may reduce crashes on nearby streets	No  It is unlikely this crossing would have much effect on Beltline crashes, but may reduce crashes or nearby streets.
2. /	Address Beltline infrastructure condition and deficiencies						
D	Does the <b>component</b> have the potential to address Beltline pavements, structures, and substandard elements?		No This component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard elements.	No This component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard elements.	No This component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard elements.	No This component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard elements.	No This component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard elements.
3. I	Improve system mobility (congestion) for all modes					-	
Е	Does the <b>component</b> provide corresponding pedestrian facilities?	Yes This crossing would be a grade-separated street crossing including sidewalks, or a dedicated bicycle/pedestrian crossing.	Yes This crossing would be a grade-separated street crossing including sidewalks.	Yes This component is a dedicated bicycle/ pedestrian path.	Yes This component is a dedicated bicycle/pedestrian path	Yes This component is a dedicated bicycle/ pedestrian path.	Yes This component is a dedicated bicycle/ pedestrian path.

lr	ndividual Components Screening Bike and Pedestria	n Component Screening	(Screening terminology-N	No, Somewhat, Yes)			
		Н		J	K	X	Υ
		W of Park Street	Crossing of US 14	Monona Drive to Stoughton Road	Femrite to County N	W Towne Path	Cap Springs Rec Area
C	COMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY						
F	Does the <b>component</b> provide the opportunity to complete the pedestrian network <u>near and across the Beltline</u> Corridor?	Yes This crossing would increase access across the Beltline between the Burr Oaks neighborhood and an employment center south of the Beltline (Greenway Cross	Yes This grade-separated crossing would connect two isolated employment areas.	No Pedestrian use of this connection would likely be minimal.	No Pedestrian use of this connection would likely be minimal.	Somewhat This component provides a missing link in the W Towne Path and Beltline Path  Because it is far from destinations, it may not see much pedestrian traffic.	No Pedestrian use of this connection would likely be minimal.
G	Does the <b>component</b> provide corresponding bicycle facilities?	Yes This crossing would be a grade-separated street crossing including bike lanes, or a dedicated bicycle/pedestrian crossing.	Yes This crossing would be a grade-separated street crossing including bike lanes.	Yes This component is a dedicated bicycle/ pedestrian path.	Yes  This component is a dedicated bicycle/ pedestrian path	Yes This component is a dedicated bicycle/ pedestrian path.	Yes This component is a dedicated bicycle/ pedestrian path.
H	Does the component have the potential to address bike network gaps (deficiencies) along and across the Beltline?	Yes It connects a primary planned bikeway (Cypress) with a primary street bikeway (Syene).  It increases access across the Beltline between the Burr Oaks neighborhood and the Greenway Cross employment center.	Yes This crossing would connect two employment centers and neighborhoods.  This crossing would connect two secondary on-street bikeways (Greenway Cross and Rimrock).	Somewhat  By providing an alternative to the Stoughton Road interchange, this component may provide a more direct and comfortable connection for biovoliete	Yes This component provides a much lower stress connection for bicyclists by providing separation from motor vehicles.  When the future freeway conversion of US-12/18 occurs, this would provide a needed east-west connection.	Yes This component provides a major connection between the existing W Towne Path and Beltline Path does not currently exist.	Somewhat  By providing an alternative to the W Broadway and Stoughton Road interchanges, this component may provide a more direct and comfortable connection for bicyclists

lr	ndividual Components Screening Bike and Pedestrian	Component Screening	(Screening terminology-N	lo, Somewhat, Yes)		· ·	
		Н		J	K	X	Υ
		W of Park Street	Crossing of US 14	Monona Drive to Stoughton Road	Femrite to County N	W Towne Path	Cap Springs Rec Area
3	OMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY						
I	Does the <b>component</b> provide the opportunity for convenient auto to bicycle mode transfers?	Somewhat  This crossing could encourage commuters to park south of the Beltline and use this crossing to get to employment centers north of the Beltline.	<ul> <li>This path probably would not facilitate commuters parking with a</li> </ul>	No This path probably would not facilitate commuters parking with a mode transfer to bike.	No This path probably would not facilitate commuters parking with a mode transfer to bike.	No This path probably would not facilitate commuters parking with a mode transfer to bike.	No This path probably would not facilitate commuters parking with a mode transfer to bike.
J	Does the <b>component</b> increase or improve routes for transit service?	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian path would not serve transit routes	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian path would not serve transit routes.	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian path would not serve transit routes.	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian path would not serve transit routes.	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian path would not serve transit routes.	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian path would not serve transit routes.
K	Does the <b>component</b> have the potential to provide measures that make transit more competitive with auto? (Transit Priority)	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian path would not serve transit routes.	· This dedicated	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian path would not serve transit routes.	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian path would not serve transit routes.	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian crossing would not serve transit routes.	No This dedicated bicycle/pedestrian crossing would not serve transit routes.
L	Does the <b>component</b> provide the opportunity for convenient transit mode transfers?	Yes This crossing increases bicycle and pedestrian access to routes B, G, H, O and 75 from south of the Beltline.	Yes This component increases access to Metro routes G and 65.	No This component does not increase access to existing transit service.	No This component does not increase access to existing transit service.	Somewhat This dedicated path connects Metro routes E and H north of the Beltline.	No This component does not increase access to existing transit service.
N	Does the <b>component</b> have the potential to address conditions that lead to unstable traffic flow on the Beltline?	No This component does not address or impact Beltline traffic flow.	This component would not provide enough traffic reduction to provide stable traffic operations on the Beltline.	Somewhat This component may reduce bicycle and pedestrian traffic passing through the Stoughton Road interchange, thereby reducing interchange-related delays on the Beltline.	No This component does not address or impact Beltline traffic flow.	No This component does not address or impact Beltline traffic flow.	No This component does not address or impact Beltline traffic flow.

Inc	Individual Components Screening Bike and Pedestrian Component Screening (Screening terminology-No, Somewhat, Yes)						
		Н		J	K	Х	Υ
		W of Park Street	Crossing of US 14	Monona Drive to Stoughton Road	Femrite to County N	W Towne Path	Cap Springs Rec Area
	OMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY						
N	Does the <b>component</b> provide a substantial traffic volume reduction on the Beltline Corridor, a substantial Beltline capacity increase, or a combination of these?	No     As a bike/ped only crossing, this component would not address Beltline traffic volume or capacity.	No     As a bike/ped only crossing, this component would not address Beltline traffic volume or capacity.	No This component does not address Beltline traffic volume or capacity.	No This component does not address Beltline traffic volume or capacity.	No This component does not address Beltline traffic volume or capacity.	No This component does not address Beltline traffic volume or capacity.
0	Does the <b>component</b> provide more attractive/viable alternative routes to the Beltline for local trips?	No If a bike/ped crossing only, this component does not provide alternative routes for motor vehicle traffic currently using the Beltline.	No If a bike/ped crossing only, this component does not provide alternative routes for motor vehicle traffic currently using the Beltline.	No This component does not provide alternative routes for motor vehicle traffic currently using the Beltline.	No This component does not provide alternative routes for motor vehicle traffic currently using the Beltline.	No This component does not provide alternative routes for motor vehicle traffic currently using the Beltline.	No This component does not provide alternative routes for motor vehicle traffic currently using the Beltline.
P	Will the strategy provide a reduction in motor vehicle trips?	Somewhat This component may encourage mode shifts by enhancing and increasing bicycle/pedestrian access across the Beltline to the South Transfer Station.	Somewhat This component may encourage mode shifts by enhancing and increasing bicycle/pedestrian access across US 14.	No This component is distant from employment centers and on its own may not substantially reduce motor vehicle trips.	No  Being in an undeveloped area, this component may primarily serve people that would choose to bike regardless of whether this path was built.	Yes This component will likely encourage mode shifts by connecting the existing W Towne Path to the existing Beltline Path.	Somewhat This component improves the bike network which could encourage mode shifts and the corresponding minor reduction in motor vehicle trips
	Limit impacts to a responsible level of social, cultural, and	l environmental effects.					
Q	How well does the <b>component</b> avoid effects to environmental and human resources? (List affected resources)	This component would mostly require existing right of way.	This component would likely cross an area containing wetlands	This component would pass almost entirely through wetland areas, but could be designed as a boardwalk to minimize impacts.		This component would require right of way from nearby commercial properties.	This component would require right of way from nearby commercial properties north of the Beltline and from the Capital Springs State Recreational Area, a
		This component probably would not require residential or commercial relocations.	This component would require right of way from commercial parking lots		This component may require right of way acquisition from agricultural lands.		Section 4(f) property south of the Beltline.

Individual Components Screening Bike and Pedestrian Component Screening (Screening terminology-No, Somewhat, Yes)							
		Н	I	J	K	X	Υ
		W of Park Street	Crossing of US 14	Monona Drive to Stoughton Road	Femrite to County N	W Towne Path	Cap Springs Rec Area
C	OMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY						
5.	Enhance efficient multimodal access to economic center						
R	Does the <b>component</b> acknowledge capacity limitations in the connecting municipal arterial network (near the Beltline?)	Somewhat This component connects low-volume streets that have adequate capacity for increased bicycle traffic.	Yes This component connects low-volume streets to existing bike lanes that have adequate capacity for increased bicycle traffic.	bicycle traffic. Accommodations would need to be provided on Monona drive between Broadway and the	Yes This component connects to rural roads that have adequate capacity for increased bicycle traffic.	Yes This component connects shared-use paths that have adequate capacity for increased bicycle and pedestrian traffic.	Yes This component connects shared-use paths, sidewalks, and bikeways that have adequate capacity for increased bicycle and pedestrian traffic.
		This component connects to existing sidewalks on the north side, but the south side lacks sidewalks.	This component connects to existing sidewalks on both sides.	There are existing sidewalks on Broadway that have adequate capacity for increased pedestrians. Accommodations would need to be provided on Monona Drive between Broadway and the Beltline.			
S	Does the component provide connections to economic centers for all modes? (focus is on bikes and peds for this component)	Yes This component improves connections to economic centers for bicycle and pedestrian modes.	Yes This component improves connections to economic centers for bicycle, pedestrian, transit, and motor vehicle modes.	Yes This component improves connections to economic centers for bicycle and pedestrian modes.	No This component increases connectivity to outlying communities, but does not directly connect economic centers. It is distant from employment centers.		No This component increases connectivity to outlying communities, but does not directly connect economic centers. It is distant from employment centers.

In	dividual Components Screening Bike and Pedestria	n Component Screening	(Screening terminology-N	lo, Somewhat, Yes)			
		H		J	K	Χ	Υ
		W of Park Street	Crossing of US 14	Monona Drive to Stoughton Road	Femrite to County N	W Towne Path	Cap Springs Rec Area
C	OMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY						
T	Can the <b>component</b> improve Beltline interchange operation?	Somewhat  By providing an alternative street crossing, this component may reduce the amount of time devoted to pedestrian clearance times at the Fish Hatchery Road interchange.	crossing, this component may reduce conflicts and traffic flowing through the Fish Hatchery and Rimrock interchanges.		No This component would not likely affect Beltline interchanges.	No This component would not likely affect Beltline interchanges.	No  This component would not likely affect Beltline interchanges.
	Decrease Beltline diversion impacts to neighborhood stre		\.		1.4		1.6
U	Does the <b>component</b> create traffic volumes on streets/roads that are compatible with their functional classification?	Yes This component connects streets classified as future primary bikeways.	Yes This component connects streets classified as secondary bikeways.	Yes This component connects streets classified as secondary bikeways.		Yes This component connects paths classified as primary bikeways.	Yes This component connects paths classified as primary bikeways.
7.	Complement other major transportation initiatives and stu						
V	Is the <b>component</b> consistent with other neighboring plans/initiatives/ projects?	Yes This component has been part of the City of Madison and Greater Madison MPO bike plans for several years.	Somewhat  This component is not part of any known plans or initiatives, but does not appear to be in conflict with local bicycle/pedestrian goals or priorities.	been part of the City of	or initiatives, but does not appear to be in conflict	been part of the City of Madison and Greater	Yes This component has been high priority for the City of Monona and Greater Madison MPO.

<u>_</u>	ide Component Screening	(Screening terminology No, Somewhat,		Verene Beed/County BB	Figh Uptohom/County BD	LIS 44/MoCov Bood	IIS 51/Signally and the area
Screening Question	US 14 in Middleton	County M/Mineral Point	County M/Midtown	Verona Road/County PD	Fish Hatchery/County PD	US 14/McCoy Road	US 51/Siggelkow/Marsh
OMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY							
Improve Safety for all modes.	h	lu (14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 1	In	In	la	In	In
Does this component provide the opportunity to	No (1A. and 1.B)	No (1A. and 1.B)	No (1A. and 1.B)	No (1A. and 1.B)	No (1A. and 1.B)	No (1A. and 1.B)	No (1A. and 1.B)
decrease bicycle-motor vehicle crashes (or conflicts)			•Changes to bicycle or pedestrian facilities are		•Changes to bicycle or pedestrian facilities are		•Changes to bicycle or pedestrian facilities a
near the alignment and Beltline Corridor?		not included in this component. This park and	not included in this component. This park and	not included in this component. This park and		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	not included in this component. This Park an
Dana Abia anno anno anno interesa anno antonito da	ride may increase the number of bicyclists	ride may increase the number of bicyclists	ride may increase the number of bicyclists	ride may increase the number of bicyclists	ride may increase the number of bicyclists		Ride may increase the number of bicyclists
Does this component provide the opportunity to	crossing the Beltline through the University	crossing the Beltline through the Mineral Point	crossing the Beltline through the Mineral Point	crossing the Beltline through the Seminole	crossing the Beltline through the Fish Hatchery	crossing the Beltline through the Rimrock Road	crossing the Beltline through the Stoughton
decrease pedestrian-motor vehicle crashes (or	Avenue interchange.	Road interchange.	Road interchange.	Highway interchange.	Road interchange.	interchange.	Road interchange.
conflicts) near the alignment and Beltline Corridor?							
Does this component provide the opportunity to	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
decrease motor vehicle crashes on the Beltline	•There is a modest potential reduction in	•There is a potential reduction of 50 to 100 vph	•There is a potential reduction of 50 to 100 vph	•There is a potential reduction of 100 to 200	•There is a potential reduction of 50 to 150 vph	•There is a potential reduction of up to 50 to	•There is a potential to reduce Beltline volume
Corridor?	Beltline vehicles during the peak hour.	during the peak hour. However, this volume	during the peak hour. However, this volume	vph during the peak hour. However, this	during the peak hour. However, this volume	150 vph during the peak hour. However, this	by 50 to 150 vph during the peak hour.
Corridor:		reduction would have a small impact on	reduction would have a small impact on	volume reduction would have a small impact			However, this volume reduction would have
			·	·	reduction would have a small impact on	volume reduction would have a small impact	
	small impact on Beltline safety.	Beltline safety.	Beltline safety.	on Beltline safety.	Beltline safety.	on Beltline safety.	small impact on Beltline safety.
Address Beltline infrastructure condition and defic							
Does the component have the potential to address	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Beltline pavements, structures, and substandard	<ul> <li>This component does not directly address</li> </ul>	•This component does not directly address	•This component does not directly address	•This component does not directly address	•This component does not directly address	•This component does not directly address	•This component does not directly address
elements?	Beltline pavements, structures, or other	Beltline pavements, structures, or other	Beltline pavements, structures, or other	Beltline pavements, structures, or other	Beltline pavements, structures, or other	Beltline pavements, structures, or other	Beltline pavements, structures, or other
	substandard elements.	substandard elements.	substandard elements.	substandard elements.	substandard elements.	substandard elements.	substandard elements.
	Substantial a districtue.		ouzotandara oromonio.	Substantial a distribution	Substantial a ciomonio.	Substantian a storriorno.	
Improve custom mobility (songetion) for all							
Improve system mobility (congestion) for all mode		Voc	Comowhat	Voc	Voc	Samowhat	Voc
Does the component provide corresponding	Somewhat	Yes	Somewhat	Yes	Yes	Somewhat	Yes
pedestrian facilities?	·	•With this component local connections would	•With this component local connections would	•With this component local connections would	•With this component local connections would	•With this component local connections would	•With this component local connections wou
	be made to connect the new park and ride to	be made to connect the new park and ride to	be made to connect the new park and ride to	be made to connect the new park and ride to	be made to connect the new park and ride to	be made to connect the new park and ride to	be made to connect the new park and ride to
	the existing pedestrian facilities where they	the existing pedestrian facilities. Sidewalk is	the existing pedestrian facilities.	the existing pedestrian facilities, the Military	the existing pedestrian facilities and nearby	the existing Capital City Trail multi use path.	the existing pedestrian facilities. Sidewalk is
	exist.	generally provided along the streets	•A path leading to the north from this area	Ridge State Trail, and the Cannonball Path.	Capital City Trail. Sidewalk is generally	However, pedestrian facilities are not provided	generally provided along the streets
	•Connections to existing multiuse paths may be		should be completed soon.	Sidewalk is generally provided along the	provided along the streets surrounding this	along County MM or US 14.	surrounding this park and ride.
	included depending on the location of the park	g p		streets surrounding this park and ride.	park and ride.		g p
	and ride.			Sirects surrounding this park and fide.	park and noc.		
Does this component provide the opportunity to	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
		Dedoctrion facilities near and serves the	-Dadastrian facilities near and serves the	Dedectrion facilities near and serves the	Dedectrion facilities near and serves the	Dedectrion facilities near and serves the	Dedectrion facilities near and serves the
		Pedestrian facilities near and across the	Pedestrian facilities near and across the	Pedestrian facilities near and across the	•Pedestrian facilities near and across the	Pedestrian facilities near and across the	•Pedestrian facilities near and across the
Beltline Corridor?	Beltline are not included in this component.	Beltline are not included in this component.	Beltline are not included in this component.	Beltline are not included in this component.	Beltline are not included in this component.	Beltline are not included in this component.	Beltline are not included in this component.
Does the component provide connections to bicycle	Somewhat	No	Somewhat	Somewhat	Somewhat	Somewhat	No
facilities?	Bicycle facilities are not included in this	Bicycle facilities are not included in this	Bicycle facilities are not included in this	Bicycle facilities are not included in this	Bicycle facilities are not included in this	Bicycle facilities are not included in this	Bicycle facilities are not included in this
idominoo .	component. However, a connection may be	component.	component. However a multiuse path exists	component. However, a connection would be	component. However, a connection would be	component. However, a connection would be	component.
		component.		The state of the s			component.
	made from a new park and ride lot to the		along County M.	made from a new park and ride lot to the	made from a new park and ride lot to the	made from a new park and ride lot to the	
	existing paths in the area depending on the			Military Ridge State Trail and the Cannonball		existing Capital City Trail multi use path.	
	location of the park and ride.			Path.	Fish Hatchery Road.		
Does this component have the potential to address	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
bike network gaps (deficiencies) along and across the	Bicycle facilities near and across the Beltline	Bicycle facilities near and across the Beltline	Bicycle facilities near and across the Beltline	Bicycle facilities near and across the Beltline	Bicycle facilities near and across the Beltline	Bicycle facilities near and across the Beltline	Bicycle facilities near and across the Beltline
Beltline?	are not included in this component.	are not included in this component.	are not included in this component.	are not included in this component.	are not included in this component.	are not included in this component.	are not included in this component.
			·	·	· ·	·	·
Does the component provide the opportunity for	Somewhat	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
1 1 1	Bike travel on US 14 can be challenging.	Off street paved paths or bike lanes that	Off street paved paths or bike lanes that	Off street paved paths or bike lanes that	Off street paved paths or bike lanes that	•Off street paved paths, bike lanes or paved	Bike lanes or paved shoulders that connect t
convenient bicycle mode transfers?	0 0				l · · · ·	The state of the s	
	•Depending on the location of the park and	connect to other on-street routes and ultimately			connect to other routes will be available from		other on-street routes and ultimately off-stree
	ride, connections to existing paths and on-	off-street paths will be available from this park		this park and ride location.	this park and ride location.	available from this park and ride location.	paths will be available from this park and ride
	street bike accommodations may be provided.	and ride location.	and ride location.			•Rimrock Road could use better bike	location.
						accommodations.	
Does the component increase or improve routes for	Somewhat	Voc	Somewhat	Somewhat	Somewhat	Somewhat	Somewhat
		*The Fast West Puis Benid Townit and					
transit service?	•This park and ride would be near standard	•The East-West Bus Rapid Transit project	•This park and ride was near peak-only Route	•This component would be near Metro	•The potential park and ride would be near	•A park and ride at this location would be about	
		being constructed by Madison includes a park	55 with buses only arriving during peak hours,	standard Route D2 (buses every 60 to 80	transit Routes B and H. County D/Fish	0.1 miles (3-minute-walk) from a Route 65	would be approximately 0.1 miles (3-minute
	every 30 to 60 minutes and near coverage	and ride in this location. Completion is	and supplemental routes 622 and 621 that	minutes), peak-only Route 75 (buses only	Hatchery Road is a planned corridor for a	transit stop at the intersection of Rimrock Road	walk) on Supplemental Route 612 that only
	route R2 that has buses arriving every 60 to 80	anticipated in 2024. The location is anticipated	provides peak-hour service when MMSD	during peak hours), and supplemental Route	future Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) system. Mode	and Anderberg Drive. Route 65 is a peak-only	runs additional peak-hour service when MM
	minutes.	to be a new terminal for Metro Transit including		629 (additional peak-hour service when MMSD		route with buses arriving only during peak	schools are in session but open to the public
		the start and end of BRT Route A. Other Metro	· ·		convenient with this component.	hours. Standard Route G also runs nearby with	
			public.		convenient with this component.	•	The state of the s
I .	increase ridership from the park and ride.	routes near this location include standard		•There would be potential for these routes to		buses arriving every 30 to 60 minutes. The	component would be about 1.1 miles (24-
		Routes F and D1 with buses arriving every 30		increase ridership from the park and ride.		nearest transit stop for this route would be near	
						the Rimrock Road and Anderberg Drive	every 30 to 60 minutes) transit stop at the
		to 60 minutes, coverage Route R1 with buses					
						intersection approximately 0.5 miles away (9-	intersection of Meinders Road and Crested
		to 60 minutes, coverage Route R1 with buses arriving every 60 to 80 minutes, and					
		to 60 minutes, coverage Route R1 with buses arriving every 60 to 80 minutes, and supplemental Route 621 that provides peak-				minute walk). Supplemental Routes 611 and	Owl Lane.
		to 60 minutes, coverage Route R1 with buses arriving every 60 to 80 minutes, and supplemental Route 621 that provides peak- hour service when Madison Metropolitan				minute walk). Supplemental Routes 611 and 617 also run near this location and have	Owl Lane. •There would be potential for this park and ri
		to 60 minutes, coverage Route R1 with buses arriving every 60 to 80 minutes, and supplemental Route 621 that provides peak- hour service when Madison Metropolitan School District (MMSD) schools are in session				minute walk). Supplemental Routes 611 and 617 also run near this location and have additional peak-hour service when MMSD	Owl Lane.
		to 60 minutes, coverage Route R1 with buses arriving every 60 to 80 minutes, and supplemental Route 621 that provides peak- hour service when Madison Metropolitan				minute walk). Supplemental Routes 611 and 617 also run near this location and have additional peak-hour service when MMSD schools are in session but are also open to the	Owl Lane. •There would be potential for this park and ri
		to 60 minutes, coverage Route R1 with buses arriving every 60 to 80 minutes, and supplemental Route 621 that provides peak- hour service when Madison Metropolitan School District (MMSD) schools are in session				minute walk). Supplemental Routes 611 and 617 also run near this location and have additional peak-hour service when MMSD	Owl Lane. •There would be potential for this park and ri
		to 60 minutes, coverage Route R1 with buses arriving every 60 to 80 minutes, and supplemental Route 621 that provides peak- hour service when Madison Metropolitan School District (MMSD) schools are in session				minute walk). Supplemental Routes 611 and 617 also run near this location and have additional peak-hour service when MMSD schools are in session but are also open to the	Owl Lane. •There would be potential for this park and rid
		to 60 minutes, coverage Route R1 with buses arriving every 60 to 80 minutes, and supplemental Route 621 that provides peak- hour service when Madison Metropolitan School District (MMSD) schools are in session				minute walk). Supplemental Routes 611 and 617 also run near this location and have additional peak-hour service when MMSD schools are in session but are also open to the public. Any of these routes could be modified	Owl Lane. •There would be potential for this park and ri
		to 60 minutes, coverage Route R1 with buses arriving every 60 to 80 minutes, and supplemental Route 621 that provides peak- hour service when Madison Metropolitan School District (MMSD) schools are in session				minute walk). Supplemental Routes 611 and 617 also run near this location and have additional peak-hour service when MMSD schools are in session but are also open to the public. Any of these routes could be modified	Owl Lane. •There would be potential for this park and ri
		to 60 minutes, coverage Route R1 with buses arriving every 60 to 80 minutes, and supplemental Route 621 that provides peak- hour service when Madison Metropolitan School District (MMSD) schools are in session				minute walk). Supplemental Routes 611 and 617 also run near this location and have additional peak-hour service when MMSD schools are in session but are also open to the public. Any of these routes could be modified	Owl Lane. •There would be potential for this park and r
		to 60 minutes, coverage Route R1 with buses arriving every 60 to 80 minutes, and supplemental Route 621 that provides peak- hour service when Madison Metropolitan School District (MMSD) schools are in session				minute walk). Supplemental Routes 611 and 617 also run near this location and have additional peak-hour service when MMSD schools are in session but are also open to the public. Any of these routes could be modified	Owl Lane. •There would be potential for this park and r
Does this component have the potential to provide	No	to 60 minutes, coverage Route R1 with buses arriving every 60 to 80 minutes, and supplemental Route 621 that provides peak- hour service when Madison Metropolitan School District (MMSD) schools are in session	No	No	No	minute walk). Supplemental Routes 611 and 617 also run near this location and have additional peak-hour service when MMSD schools are in session but are also open to the public. Any of these routes could be modified to serve park and ride patrons.	Owl Lane. •There would be potential for this park and r to increase transit ridership.
Does this component have the potential to provide measures that make transit more competitive with		to 60 minutes, coverage Route R1 with buses arriving every 60 to 80 minutes, and supplemental Route 621 that provides peak-hour service when Madison Metropolitan School District (MMSD) schools are in session but is also open to the public.	No •Transit priority measures are not included in	No •Transit priority measures are not included in	No •Transit priority measures are not included in	minute walk). Supplemental Routes 611 and 617 also run near this location and have additional peak-hour service when MMSD schools are in session but are also open to the public. Any of these routes could be modified to serve park and ride patrons.	Owl Lane. •There would be potential for this park and r
Does this component have the potential to provide measures that make transit more competitive with auto? (Transit Priority)		to 60 minutes, coverage Route R1 with buses arriving every 60 to 80 minutes, and supplemental Route 621 that provides peak-hour service when Madison Metropolitan School District (MMSD) schools are in session but is also open to the public.	No •Transit priority measures are not included in this component.	No •Transit priority measures are not included in this component.	No •Transit priority measures are not included in this component.	minute walk). Supplemental Routes 611 and 617 also run near this location and have additional peak-hour service when MMSD schools are in session but are also open to the public. Any of these routes could be modified to serve park and ride patrons.	Owl Lane. •There would be potential for this park and to increase transit ridership.

	ide Component Screening	(Screening terminology No, Somewhat,	,	Variant Day Variant DD	Fish Hetsham /Co	LIC44/M-CB	110 54/0:
creening Question DMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY	US 14 in Middleton	County M/Mineral Point	County M/Midtown	Verona Road/County PD	Fish Hatchery/County PD	US 14/McCoy Road	US 51/Siggelkow/Marsh
	O a ma a such as	W	On mount of	W	lv.	On mount of	On we assure at
Does the component provide the opportunity for convenient transit mode transfers?	park and ride would be near west side employment centers. Although vehicles could park in the lot and walk to jobs, this park and	Sidewalk is generally provided along the streets surrounding this area.  Off-street paved paths or bicycle lanes that connect to other on-street routes and ultimately off-street paths would be available from this park and ride location.  Mode transfer from car to bus, bicycle, or walking are possible with this component.	Somewhat     Mode transfer from car to bus or walking is unlikely since transit is limited.     Mode transfer from car to bike is possible with this component.	Mode transfer from car to bus or bike is convenient with this component.      Walking is convenient at this location, although there are few nearby employment centers.	Yes  •Mode transfer from car to bus or bike is convenient with this component.  •Walking is convenient at this location, although there are few nearby employment centers.  •Potential location for future BRT route	Somewhat  *Mode transfer from car to bus or bicycle is possible with this component. Mode transfer from car to walking could occur, but there are few nearby employment centers.	Somewhat  *Mode transfer from car to bus or bicycle is possible with this component but more like when MMSD schools are in session and depeak hours.
conditions that lead to unstable traffic flow on the	Beltline vehicles during the peak hour. However, this volume reduction would have a	No •There is a potential reduction of 50 to 100 vph during the peak hour. However, this volume reduction would have a small impact on Beltline operations or unstable flow.	No •There is a potential reduction of 50 to 100 vph during the peak hour. However, this volume reduction would have a small impact on Beltline operations or unstable flow.	No  *There is a potential reduction of 100 to 200 vph during the peak hour. However, this volume reduction would have a small impact on Beltline operations or unstable flow.	No  •There is a potential reduction of 50 to 150 vph during the peak hour. However, this volume reduction would have a small impact on Beltline operations or unstable flow.	No •There is a potential reduction of up to 50 to 150 vph during the peak hour. However, this volume reduction would have a small impact on Beltline operations or unstable flow.	No •There is a potential to reduce Beltline vo by 50 to 150 vph during the peak hour. However, this volume reduction would ha small impact on Beltline operations or uns flow.
Does this component provide a substantial traffic volume reduction on the Beltline Corridor, a substantial Beltline capacity increase, or a combination of these?	Beltline vehicles during the peak hour. However, this volume reduction would have a	No  *There is a potential reduction of 50 to 100 vph during the peak hour. However, this volume reduction would have a small impact on Beltline operations or service levels.	•There is a potential reduction of 50 to 100 vph during the peak hour. However, this volume reduction would have a small impact on Beltline operations or service levels.	•There is a potential reduction of 100 to 200 vph during the peak hour. However, this volume reduction would have a small impact on Beltline operations or service levels.	•There is a potential reduction of 50 to 150 vph during the peak hour. However, this volume reduction would have a small impact on Beltline operations or service levels.	•There is a potential reduction of up to 50 to 150 vph during the peak hour. However, this volume reduction would have a small impact on Beltline operations or service levels.	No •There is a potential to reduce Beltline vol by 50 to 150 vph during the peak hour. However, this volume reduction would have small impact on Beltline operations or ser levels.
alternative routes to the Beltline for local trips?	•This component provides an alternative to traveling by car on the Beltline for only a few	No •This component provides an alternative to traveling by car on the Beltline for only a few travelers.	Somewhat •This component provides an alternative to traveling by car on the Beltline for some travelers.	Somewhat •This component provides an alternative to traveling by car on the Beltline for some travelers.	Somewhat •This component provides an alternative to traveling by car on the Beltline for some travelers.	Somewhat •This component provides an alternative to traveling by car on the Beltline for some travelers.	Somewhat •This component provides an alternative traveling by car on the Beltline for some travelers.
Will the strategy provide a reduction in motor vehicle trips?	Somewhat  Mode transfer from car to bike is possible with this component. Mode transfer from car to bus	Yes  •Mode transfer from car to bus, bike, or walking is convenient with this component.  •Park and rides can reduce motor vehicle trips.	Somewhat  *Mode transfer from car to bike is possible with this component. Mode transfer from car to bus or walking is unlikely.	Yes  •Mode transfer from car to bus or bike is convenient with this component.  •Walking is convenient at this location, although there are few nearby employment centers.	Mode transfer from car to bus or bike is convenient with this component.     Walking is convenient at this location, although there are few nearby employment centers.     Park and rides can reduce motor vehicle trips.	Yes  •Mode transfer from car to bus or bike is convenient with this component.  •Mode transfer from car to walking is challenging, although there are few nearby employment centers.	Yes  •Mode transfer from car to bus, or bike is convenient with this component.  •Walking is convenient at this location, although there are few nearby employment centers.
Limit impacts to a responsible level of social, cultu	ral, and environmental effects. NOTE: See th	ne following sheets for details.					
How well does this component avoid effects to	A park and ride at this location may require a large footprint for the parking lot and new access on US 14. A larger footprint for the parking lot may impact (depending on location of the park and ride):  •Commercial properties along US 14. •A stormwater management pond.	A park and ride at this location A park and ride at this location may require a large footprint for the parking lot and new access near the intersection of County M (Junction Road) and Mineral Point Road.  A parking lot may be difficult in this area with limited space. Impacts may include:  Property from the planned University	1 .	A park and ride at this location may require a large footprint for the parking lot and new access near the intersection of Verona Road and County PD.  A parking lot may be difficult in this area with limited space. Impacts may include:  Impacts to commercial/industrial land uses.  Impacts to a quarry.	A park and ride at this location may require a large footprint for the parking lot and new access near the intersection of Fish Hatchery Road and County PD.  A larger footprint for the parking lot may impact:  •Capital Springs State Recreational Area (e-way).  •Impacts to Commercial land uses.	A park and ride at this location may require a large footprint for the parking lot and new access near the intersection of US 14 and McCoy Road. A larger footprint for the parking lot may impact:  •General open space area. •Capital Springs State Recreational Area green space and wetlands (e-way).	A park and ride at this location may requilarge footprint for the parking lot and new access near the intersection of Siggelkow Road and Marsh Road.  A larger footprint for the parking lot may impact:  General open space area and/or stormwinfrastructure.  Impacts to residential land uses.
Enhance efficient multimodal access to economic of							
Does the component acknowledge capacity limitations in the connecting municipal arterial network (near the Beltline?)	This location is primarily accessed via Pleasant View Road and US 14. West of Pleasant View Road, US 14 is designated as "congested" by the Greater Madison MPO. This location could draw several hundred motor vehicle trips during the peak hours, but it	Yes •This location is primarily accessed via County M and Mineral Point Road both of which have recently had capacity expanded near this location. •This location would likely only draw two to three hundred trips during the peak hours and it is directly served by principal arterials.	Somewhat  *This location is primarily accessed via County M and Midtown Road.  *This location could draw several hundred motor vehicle trips during the peak hours, but it is directly served by a principal arterial and a minor arterial street.	PD and US 151/Verona Road.  •This location could draw several hundred	Somewhat  *This location is primarily accessed via County PD and Fish Hatchery Road. Fish Hatchery Road is designated as "Severely to Extremely t Congested" north of this location, but not adjacent to it.  *This location could draw several hundred motor vehicle trips during the peak hours, but if is directly served by principal arterial streets.	Yes  *This location is primarily accessed via US 14 and McCoy Road.  *This location could draw several hundred motor vehicle trips during the peak hours, yet it is directly served by minor arterial streets.	which is designated as "Congested" or "V Congested" by the Greater Madison MPO
Does the component have connections to economic centers for all modes?	and ride location.  •Off street paved path, bike lanes, or paved	Yes  •Five bus routes run near the proposed park and ride location.  •The location is anticipated to be a new terminal for Metro Transit including the start and end of BRT Route A.	Somewhat  Three bus routes run near the proposed park and ride location.  Bike lanes or paved shoulders connecting to other routes would be accessible from the park and ride lot.	Yes  *Three bus routes run near the proposed park and ride location.  *Off street paved path, bike lanes, or paved shoulders connecting to other routes are accessible from the park and ride lot.  *Off Street paved paths provide direct access	Yes  •Two bus routes runs near the proposed park and ride location, including one planned BRT route.  •Off street paved path, bike lanes, or paved shoulders connecting to other routes are accessible from the park and ride lot.	Somewhat •Four bus routes run near the proposed park and ride location. •Off street paved path, bike lanes, or paved shoulders connecting to other routes are accessible from the park and ride lot.	Somewhat  *Two bus route runs near the proposed p and ride location.  *Bike lanes or paved shoulders connectir on-street routes are accessible from the and ride lot.
Can the component improve Beltline interchange operation?	Beltline vehicles during the peak hour. However, this volume reduction would have a small impact on Beltline safety.	No •There is a potential reduction of 50 to 100 vph during the peak hour. However, this volume reduction would have a small impact on Beltline interchanges.	No •There is a potential reduction of 50 to 100 vph during the peak hour. However, this volume reduction would have a small impact on Beltline interchanges.	No There is a potential reduction of 100 to 200 vph during the peak hour. However, this volume reduction would have a small impact on Beltline interchanges.	No •There is a potential reduction of 50 to 150 vph during the peak hour. However, this volume reduction would have a small impact on Beltline interchanges.	•There is a potential reduction of up to 50 to 150 vph during the peak hour. However, this volume reduction would have a small impact on Beltline interchanges.	No •There is a potential to reduce Beltline volume 50 to 150 vph during the peak hour. However, this volume reduction would hallittle impact on Beltline interchanges.
Decrease Beltline diversion impacts to neighborhoo	-	or details.	W	W	W	W	Vec
Does the component create traffic volumes on streets/roads that are compatible with their functional classification, adjacent land use, and available capacity?	Yes •This location is primarily accessed via US 14 and Pleasant View Road which are designated as a Principal arterials.		Yes •This location is primarily accessed via County M and Midtown Road, which are classified as a Principal arterial and collector respectively.		Yes  This location is primarily accessed via County PD and Fish Hatchery Road, both of which are classified as Principal arterials.	Yes •This location is primarily accessed via US 14 and McCoy Road which are designated as a Principal arterial and minor arterial respectively.	Yes  •This location is primarily accessed via U which is designated as a principal arteria  •Siggelkow Road is designated as a mini arterial.

Individual Components Screening Park ar	d Ride Component Screening	(Screening terminology No, Somewhat,	Yes)				
Screening Question	US 14 in Middleton	County M/Mineral Point	County M/Midtown	Verona Road/County PD	Fish Hatchery/County PD	US 14/McCoy Road	US 51/Siggelkow/Marsh
COMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY <sup>1</sup>							
7. Complement other major transportation initiati	ves and studies in the Madison area.						
V Is the component consistent with other neighboring	Somewhat	Yes	Somewhat	Somewhat	Somewhat	Somewhat	Somewhat
plans/initiatives/ projects?	<ul> <li>WisDOT has a plan that includes proposed</li> </ul>	•This location is under construction as part of	•WisDOT has a plan that includes proposed	•WisDOT has a plan that includes proposed	<ul> <li>WisDOT has a plan that includes proposed</li> </ul>	•WisDOT has a plan that includes proposed	•WisDOT has a plan that includes proposed
	park and ride locations, however this location is	the East-West BRT project with expected	park and ride locations, however this location is	park and ride locations, however this location is	park and ride locations, however this location is	park and ride locations, however this location is	park and ride locations, however this location
	not identified.	completion in 2024.	not identified.	not identified.	not identified.	not identified.	not identified.
	<ul> <li>Madison is working on a sustainable</li> </ul>	•WisDOT has a plan that includes proposed	Madison is working on a sustainable	Madison is working on a sustainable	Madison is working on a sustainable	Madison is working on a sustainable	Madison is working on a sustainable
	transportation plan, Madison in Motion, to	park and ride locations, however this location is	transportation plan, Madison in Motion, to	transportation plan, Madison in Motion, to	transportation plan, Madison in Motion, to	transportation plan, Madison in Motion, to	transportation plan, Madison in Motion, to
	encourage people to bike, walk, and use	not identified.	encourage people to bike, walk, and use	encourage people to bike, walk, and use	encourage people to bike, walk, and use	encourage people to bike, walk, and use	encourage people to bike, walk, and use
	transit.	Madison is working on a sustainable	transit.	transit.	transit.	transit.	transit.
	•In general, using park and rides as a means to	transportation plan, Madison in Motion, to	•In general, using park and rides as a means to	•In general, using park and rides as a means to	•In general, using park and rides as a means to	In general, using park and rides as a means to	•In general, using park and rides as a means t
	reduce Single Occupant Vehicle (SOV) trips is	encourage people to bike, walk, and use	reduce SOV trips is compatible with WisDOT	reduce SOV trips is compatible with WisDOT	reduce SOV trips is compatible with WisDOT	reduce SOV trips is compatible with WisDOT	reduce SOV trips is compatible with WisDOT
	compatible with WisDOT and City of Madison	transit.	and City of Madison goals.	and City of Madison goals.	and City of Madison goals.	and City of Madison goals.	and City of Madison goals.
	goals.	•In general, using park and rides as a means to					
		reduce SOV trips is compatible with WisDOT					

Greater Madison MPO Connect Greater Madison 2050 Regional Transportation Plan, Appendix F: Congestion Management Process, Map F-b 2019 Roadway Congestion, https://www.greatermadisonmpo.org/planning/documents/Appendix-F-RTP-CMP.pdf, accessed May 1, 2024.

Individual Components Screening Transit Priority	Component Screening	(Screening terminology No, Somewhat, Yes)			
	University Avenue	Mineral Point Road	Gammon Road	Whitney Way	Verona Road
COMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY <sup>1</sup>					
. Improve Safety for all modes.					
decrease bicycle-motor vehicle crashes (or conflicts) near the alignment and Beltline Corridor?	•Changes to bicycle or pedestrian facilities are not included in this component. This park and ride	No (1.A and 1.B) •Changes to bicycle or pedestrian facilities are not included in this component. This park and ride may increase the number of bicyclists crossing	No (1.A and 1.B)  •Changes to bicycle or pedestrian facilities are not included in this component. This park and ride may increase the number of bicyclists crossing	No (1.A and 1.B)  - Changes to bicycle or pedestrian facilities are not included in this component. This park and ride may increase the number of bicyclists crossing	No (1.A and 1.B)  Changes to bicycle or pedestrian facilities are no included in this component. This park and ride may increase the number of bicyclists crossing
Does this component provide the opportunity to decrease pedestrian-motor vehicle crashes (or conflicts) near the alignment and Beltline Corridor?	,	the Beltline through the Mineral Point Road interchange.	the Beltline through the Mineral Point Road interchange.	the Beltline through the Seminole Highway interchange.	the Beltline through the Fish Hatchery Road interchange.
decrease motor vehicle crashes on the Beltline Corridor?	•Transit priority through Beltline interchanges will have negligible impact on operations of the	No •Transit priority through Beltline interchanges will have negligible impact on operations of the Beltline mainline.	No •Transit priority through Beltline interchanges will have negligible impact on operations of the Beltline mainline.	No •Transit priority through Beltline interchanges will have negligible impact on operations of the Beltline mainline.	No •Transit priority through Beltline interchanges will have negligible impact on operations of the Beltline mainline.
2. Address Beltline infrastructure condition and deficien	cies.				
Beltline pavements, structures, and substandard elements?	•This strategy component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other	No •This strategy component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard elements.	•This strategy component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard elements.	•This strategy component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard elements.	•This strategy component does not directly address Beltline pavements, structures, or other substandard elements.
B. Improve system mobility (congestion) for all modes.					
facilities?	Pedestrian facilities are not included in this	No •Pedestrian facilities are not included in this component.	Pedestrian facilities are not included in this component.	Pedestrian facilities are not included in this component.	No •Pedestrian facilities are not included in this component.
complete the pedestrian network near and across the	Pedestrian facilities are not included in this	No •Pedestrian facilities are not included in this component.	No •Pedestrian facilities are not included in this component.	No •Pedestrian facilities are not included in this component.	No •Pedestrian facilities are not included in this component.
facilities?	Bicycle facilities are not included in this	No •Bicycle facilities are not included in this component.	No •Bicycle facilities are not included in this component.	No  •Bicycle facilities are not included in this component.	No  Bicycle facilities are not included in this component.
,	Mode transfer improvements are not included in	No •Mode transfer improvements are not included in this component.	No •Mode transfer improvements are not included in this component.	•Mode transfer improvements are not included in this component.	No •Mode transfer improvements are not included in this component.
convenient bicycle mode transfers?	Pedestrian facilities are not included in this	No •Pedestrian facilities are not included in this component.	No •Pedestrian facilities are not included in this component.	No •Pedestrian facilities are not included in this component.	No •Pedestrian facilities are not included in this component.
transit service?  Does this component have the potential to provide measures that make transit more competitive with auto? (Transit Priority)	One standard Metro Transit route travels through the University Ave interchange (approximately 64 buses/day). Improved transit mobility could facilitate new routing options to areas west of the Beltline.	coverage) travel through the Mineral Point Road interchange (approximately 160 buses/day).	the Gammon Road interchange (approximately 64 buses/day). •Improved Transit mobility through the Gammon Road interchange would improve one Metro Transit route.	Yes (3.J and 3.K)  •Two standard Metro Transit routes travel through the Whitney Way interchange (about 128 buses/day).  •Increased transit mobility through the interchange would benefit these two routes.	Yes (3.J and 3.K)  One standard Metro Transit route travels through the Verona Road interchange (approximately 64 buses/day).  Improved transit mobility through the Verona Road interchange would provide some benefit to this route.
convenient transit mode transfers?	Mode transfer improvements are not included in	No •Mode transfer improvements are not included in this component.	No •Mode transfer improvements are not included in this component.	No •Mode transfer improvements are not included in this component.	No •Mode transfer improvements are not included in this component.
conditions that lead to unstable traffic flow on the	•Transit priority through the intersection will not	No •Transit priority through the intersection will not substantially impact Beltline operations.	•Transit priority through the intersection will not substantially impact Beltline operations.	Transit priority through the intersection will not substantially impact Beltline operations.	Transit priority through the intersection will not substantially impact Beltline operations.

In	dividual Components Screening Transit Priority	/ Component Screening	(Screening terminology No, Somewhat, Yes)			
	reening Question	University Avenue	Mineral Point Road	Gammon Road	Whitney Way	Verona Road
C	DMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY <sup>1</sup>					
N		•Transit priority through the intersection will not substantially reduce Beltline volumes.	No  Transit priority through the intersection will not substantially reduce Beltline volumes.  Transit priority will not substantially increase Beltline capacity.	No Transit priority through the intersection will not substantially reduce Beltline volumes. Transit priority will not substantially increase Beltline capacity.	No  •Transit priority through the intersection will not substantially reduce Beltline volumes.  •Transit priority will not substantially increase Beltline capacity.	No     Transit priority through the intersection will not substantially reduce Beltline volumes.     Transit priority will not substantially increase Beltline capacity.
	alternative routes to the Beltline for local trips?  Will the strategy provide a reduction in motor vehicle	not impact alternative routes.	No •Transit priority through the interchanges does not impact alternative routes.  No •Mode transfer improvements are not included in	No •Transit priority through the interchanges does not impact alternative routes.  No •Mode transfer improvements are not included in	No •Transit priority through the interchanges does not impact alternative routes.  No •Mode transfer improvements are not included in	No  Transit priority through the interchanges does not impact alternative routes.  No  Mo  Moet ransfer improvements are not included in
		·	this component.	this component.	this component.	this component.
4.	Limit impacts to a responsible level of social, cultura	I, and environmental effects. NOTE: See the fo	llowing sheets for details.			
	How well does this component avoid effects to environmental and human resources?	Transit priority is unlikely to affect traffic volumes through this interchange. The University Avenue interchange is not predicted to be capacity-constrained so transit priority treatment measures would have limited impact on the operations for other motor vehicles.	Queue jump treatments may require a larger footprint at the intersections where it would be added. Near the Mineral Point Road interchange, this likely would include the southbound (eastbound) Beltline ramps and the northbound (westbound) Beltline ramps. Larger intersection footprints may impact:  Restaurant land uses and a large electric transmission tower southwest of the interchange.  Parking for retail land uses northeast of the interchange.	likely includes Watts Road (northbound and eastbound) Road and the westbound Beltline ramps (southbound). Larger intersection footprints may impact:  •Retail properties southeast and southwest of Watts Road. •Site circulation at a regional shopping center northwest of the interchange.  Transit priority treatments are unlikely to affect traffic volumes through this interchange. The Gammon Road interchange is not capacity-constrained today.	Queue jump treatments may require a larger footprint at the intersections where it would be added. Near the Whitney Way interchange this likely includes the Schroeder Road/eastbound Beltline on-ramp intersection (eastbound and northbound) and the westbound Beltline ramps intersection (southbound). Larger intersection footprints may impact:  •Parking for office and residential land uses southeast of the interchange. •Parking for a golf course and entertainment land use southwest of the interchange. •Parking for an exercise facility northwest of the interchange.  Transit priority treatments are unlikely to affect traffic volumes through this interchange. Whitney Way is capacity-constrained during the morning peak hour today. Implementing transit signal priority or queue jump treatments could diminish operations for other motor vehicles unless they are combined with other interchange capacity improvements.	Queue jump treatments may require a larger footprint at the intersections where it would be added. They may be difficult to implement at the existing single-point interchange. Areas where it could be implemented include the signal at Atticus Way and the east Frontage Road (northbound and southbound, serving Route H in addition to D1); the right-in/right-out signal at Atticus Way (northbound); and Nakoma Road (northbound and southbound). Larger intersection footprints may have the following impacts:  Parking for retail land uses east of the east Frontage Road.  Residential land uses northeast of Nakoma Road.  Residential land uses northwest of Nakoma Road.
	Beltline?)	Yes •Transit priority is unlikely to affect volumes through this interchange. The University Avenue interchange is not capacity constrained.	No  •Transit priority is unlikely to affect volumes through this interchange.  The Mineral Point Road interchange is capacity constrained. Implementing transit priority could diminish operations.	Yes •Transit priority is unlikely to affect volumes through this interchange. The Gammon Road interchange is not capacity constrained.	Yes •Transit priority is unlikely to affect volumes through this interchange. •Whitney Way is capacity constrained at times today.	No  Transit priority is unlikely to affect volumes through this interchange.  Transit priority may be difficult to achieve with th geometric configuration.  The Verona Road interchange is capacity constrained. Implementing transit priority could diminish operations.  Improved service to the existing Military Ridge park and ride could modestly reduce traffic through the interchange.
S	centers for all modes?	standard Metro route. •It could facilitate new routing options to areas west of the Beltline including a proposed park and	Metro routes including 1 BRT route that is under construction with anticipated implementation in	standard Metro route. •Connections for bike/ped are not included in this component.	Somewhat     Transit priority could enhance connections for 2 Metro routes.     Connections for bike/ped are not included in this component.	Somewhat •Transit priority could enhance connections for 1 standard Metro route.

creening Question	University Avenue	Mineral Point Road	Gammon Road	Whitney Way	Verona Road
OMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY <sup>1</sup>				•	
Can the component improve Beltline interchange operation?	No Transit priority may make operations poorer for other motor vehicles at congested intersections because it may require a share of the signal cycle. Operations along the Beltline mainline are not anticipated to be significantly impacted.	No     Transit priority may make operations poorer for other motor vehicles at congested intersections because it may require a share of the signal cycle.     Operations along the Beltline mainline are not anticipated to be significantly impacted.	No     Transit priority may make operations poorer for other motor vehicles at congested intersections because it may require a share of the signal cycle.     Operations along the Beltline mainline are not anticipated to be significantly impacted.	No     Transit priority may make operations poorer for other motor vehicles at congested intersections because it may require a share of the signal cycle.     Operations along the Beltline mainline are not anticipated to be significantly impacted.	No     Transit priority may make operations poorer for other motor vehicles at congested intersections because it may require a share of the signal cycle.     Operations along the Beltline mainline are not anticipated to be significantly impacted.
Decrease Beltline diversion impacts to neighbor	nood streets. NOTE: See the following sheets for	details.			
Does the component create traffic volumes on	No	No	No	No	No
streets/roads that are compatible with their functional	•Transit priority will have negligible impact on	•Transit priority will have negligible impact on	•Transit priority will have negligible impact on	•Transit priority will have negligible impact on	•Transit priority will have negligible impact on
classification, adjacent land use, and available capa		traffic volumes.	traffic volumes.	traffic volumes.	traffic volumes.
Complement other major transportation initiative	s and studies in the Madison area.				
Is the component consistent with other neighboring	Somewhat	Yes	Somewhat	Somewhat	Somewhat
plans/initiatives/ projects?	Transit priority is not in current WisDOT or City of Madison plans Transit priority is consistent with other City of Madison and WisDOT initiatives (e.g. encourage alternate modes). The Transit Development Plan from the Greater Madison MPO does recommend priority treatments at this location.	This location is under construction as part of the city of Madison's East-West BRT project with expected completion in 2024; however, transit priority is not in current WisDOT or City of Madison plans. Transit priority is consistent with other City of Madison and WisDOT initiatives (e.g. encourage alternate modes). The Transit Development Plan from the Greater Madison MPO does recommend priority treatments at this location and this corridor.	Transit priority is not in current WisDOT or City of Madison plans Transit priority is consistent with other City of Madison and WisDOT initiatives (e.g. encourage alternate modes). The Transit Development Plan from the Greater Madison MPO does recommend priority treatments at this location.	Transit priority is not in current WisDOT or City of Madison plans Transit priority is consistent with other City of Madison and WisDOT initiatives (e.g. encourage alternate modes). The Transit Development Plan from the Greater Madison MPO does recommend priority treatments at this location.	Transit priority is not in current WisDOT or City of Madison plans Transit priority is consistent with other City of Madison and WisDOT initiatives (e.g. encouragalternate modes). The Transit Development Plan from the Greate Madison MPO does recommend priority treatments at this location.

ndividual Components Screening Transit	Priority Component Screening	(Screening terminology-No, Somewha	at, Yes)			
creening Question	Seminole Highway	Todd Drive	Fish Hatchery Road	Rimrock Road	West Broadway	Stoughton Road
OMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY <sup>1</sup>						
Improve Safety for all modes.						
Does this component provide the opportunity to	No (1.A and 1.B)	No (1.A and 1.B)	No (1.A and 1.B)	No (1.A and 1.B)	No (1.A and 1.B)	No (1.A and 1.B)
decrease bicycle-motor vehicle crashes (or conflic	, , , ,	, ,			•Changes to bicycle or pedestrian facilities are	, ,
near the alignment and Beltline Corridor?	not included in this component. This park and	not included in this component. This Park and	not included in this component. This Park and	not included in this component. This Park and	not included in this component. This Park and	not included in this component. This Park at
Does this component provide the opportunity to	ride may increase the number of bicyclists crossing the Beltline through the Rimrock	Ride may increase the number of bicyclists crossing the Beltline through the Stoughton	Ride may increase the number of bicyclists crossing the Beltline through the Stoughton	Ride may increase the number of bicyclists crossing the Beltline through the Stoughton	Ride may increase the number of bicyclists crossing the Beltline through the Stoughton	Ride may increase the number of bicyclists crossing the Beltline through the Stoughton
decrease pedestrian-motor vehicle crashes (or	Road interchange.	Road interchange.	Road interchange.	Road interchange.	Road interchange.	Road interchange.
conflicts) near the alignment and Beltline Corridor	?	-	•	-	•	_
Does this component provide the opportunity to	No	No	No	No	No	No
decrease motor vehicle crashes on the Beltline	Transit priority through Beltline interchanges	•Transit priority through Beltline interchanges	•Transit priority through Beltline interchanges	Transit priority through Beltline interchanges	Transit priority through Beltline interchanges	•Transit priority through Beltline interchanges
Corridor?	, , ,	will have negligible impact on operations of the	, , ,	. , ,	, , ,	. , ,
	Beltline mainline.	Beltline mainline.	Beltline mainline.	Beltline mainline.	Beltline mainline.	Beltline mainline.
Address Beltline infrastructure condition and c	eficiencies					
Does the component have the potential to addres		No	No	No	No	No
Beltline pavements, structures, and substandard	•This strategy component does not directly	•This strategy component does not directly	•This strategy component does not directly	•This strategy component does not directly	•This strategy component does not directly	•This strategy component does not directly
elements?	address Beltline pavements, structures, or	address Beltline pavements, structures, or	address Beltline pavements, structures, or	address Beltline pavements, structures, or	address Beltline pavements, structures, or	address Beltline pavements, structures, or
	other substandard elements.	other substandard elements.	other substandard elements.	other substandard elements.	other substandard elements.	other substandard elements.
Improve system mobility (congestion) for all m						
Does the component provide corresponding	No	No	No	No	No	No
pedestrian facilities?	<ul> <li>Pedestrian facilities are not included in this component.</li> </ul>	Pedestrian facilities are not included in this component.	Pedestrian facilities are not included in this component.	Pedestrian facilities are not included in this component.	Pedestrian facilities are not included in this component.	<ul> <li>Pedestrian facilities are not included in this component.</li> </ul>
	component.	component.	component.	component.	component.	component.
Does this component provide the opportunity to	No	No	No	No	No	No
complete the pedestrian network near and across Beltline Corridor?		Pedestrian facilities are not included in this	Pedestrian facilities are not included in this	Pedestrian facilities are not included in this	Pedestrian facilities are not included in this	Pedestrian facilities are not included in this
	component.	component.	component.	component.	component.	component.
Does the component provide connections to bicyc		No	No	No	No	No
facilities?	Bicycle facilities are not included in this	Bicycle facilities are not included in this	Bicycle facilities are not included in this	Bicycle facilities are not included in this	Bicycle facilities are not included in this	Bicycle facilities are not included in this
Deep this common out house the metantial to address	component.	component.	component.	component.	component.	component.
Does this component have the potential to address	the •Mode transfer improvements are not included	•Mode transfer improvements are not included	Mode transfer improvements are not included	No     Mode transfer improvements are not included	No     Mode transfer improvements are not included	No •Mode transfer improvements are not includ
Beltline?	in this component.	in this component.	in this component.	in this component.	in this component.	in this component.
Does the component provide the opportunity for	Ne	No	No	No	No	No
convenient bicycle mode transfers?	Pedestrian facilities are not included in this	Pedestrian facilities are not included in this	Pedestrian facilities are not included in this	Pedestrian facilities are not included in this	Pedestrian facilities are not included in this	Pedestrian facilities are not included in this
Convenient bioyole mode transiers:	component.	component.	component.	component.	component.	component.
Does the component increase or improve routes f transit service?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Somewhat (3.J and 3.K) One standard Metro Transit route makes a	Yes (3.J and 3.K) •Three Metro Transit routes (1 future BRT, 1	Yes (3.J and 3.K)	Yes (3.J and 3.K)	Yes (3.J and 3.K)
Does this component have the potential to provide	One standard Metro Transit route travels     through the frontage road parallel to the	turn from the frontage road to Todd Drive and	,	•Two Metro Transit routes (1 standard, 1 peak- only) travel through the Rimrock Road	One standard Metro Transit route travels through the W Broadway interchange	•1 coverage Metro Transit route travels throughton Road interchange
measures that make transit more competitive with		vice versa at the Todd Drive interchange	Fish Hatchery Road interchange (about 200	interchange (about 72 buses/day), which is	(approximately 64 buses/day).	(approximately 16 buses/day).
auto? (Transit Priority)	(about 64 buses/day parallel to the Beltline).	(about 64 buses/day).	buses/day).	relatively uncongested.	Improved transit mobility through the West	Improved mobility through the interchange
	•Improved transit mobility through the			•Improved transit mobility through the Rimrock		could facilitate new routing options to areas
	Seminole Highway interchange could provide	Drive interchange could provide some benefit	by the city of Madison.	Road interchange would provide some benefit	benefit to this route.	south of the Beltline.
	some benefit to this route.	to this one route.	•Increased transit mobility through the Fish	to these two routes.		
		•If the Seminole Highway interchange were eliminated priority through this location may	Hatchery Road interchange would benefit these three routes and help provide a time			
		provide more benefits for future routing.	advantage for any future BRT system.			
		provide mere sensine isi ratare reating	advantage for any rataled 21th eyelenn			
Does the component provide the opportunity for	No	No	No	No	No	No
convenient transit mode transfers?	Mode transfer improvements are not included	•Mode transfer improvements are not included	Mode transfer improvements are not included	Mode transfer improvements are not included	Mode transfer improvements are not included	Mode transfer improvements are not include.
Tariotti il andi il modo il anoioro	in this component.	in this component.	in this component.	in this component.	in this component.	in this component.
Does this component have the potential to addres	· ·	No	No.	No	No	No
conditions that lead to unstable traffic flow on the		Transit priority through the intersection will not	•Transit priority through the intersection will not			
Beltline?	substantially impact Beltline operations.	substantially impact Beltline operations.	substantially impact Beltline operations.	substantially impact Beltline operations.	substantially impact Beltline operations.	substantially impact Beltline operations.
	,					
			No	No	No	No
Does this component provide a substantial traffic	No	NO.				
Does this component provide a substantial traffic volume reduction on the Beltline Corridor, a	No •Transit priority through the intersection will not	No Transit priority through the intersection will not	•Transit priority through the intersection will not	• I ransit priority through the intersection will not	• I ransit priority through the intersection will not	
volume reduction on the Beltline Corridor, a	No •Transit priority through the intersection will not substantially reduce Beltline volumes.	Transit priority through the intersection will not substantially reduce Beltline volumes.	•Transit priority through the intersection will not substantially reduce Beltline volumes.	• I ransit priority through the intersection will not substantially reduce Beltline volumes.	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.
	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.	Transit priority through the intersection will not substantially reduce Beltline volumes. Transit priority will not substantially increase	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.	
volume reduction on the Beltline Corridor, a substantial Beltline capacity increase, or a	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.	•Transit priority through the intersection will not substantially reduce Beltline volumes.	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.
volume reduction on the Beltline Corridor, a substantial Beltline capacity increase, or a	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.  •Transit priority will not substantially increase	Transit priority through the intersection will not substantially reduce Beltline volumes. Transit priority will not substantially increase	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.  •Transit priority will not substantially increase	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.  •Transit priority will not substantially increase	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.  •Transit priority will not substantially increase	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.  •Transit priority will not substantially increase
volume reduction on the Beltline Corridor, a substantial Beltline capacity increase, or a combination of these?	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.  •Transit priority will not substantially increase Beltline capacity.	Transit priority through the intersection will not substantially reduce Beltline volumes. Transit priority will not substantially increase	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.  •Transit priority will not substantially increase	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.  •Transit priority will not substantially increase Beltline capacity.	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.  •Transit priority will not substantially increase Beltline capacity.	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.  •Transit priority will not substantially increased Beltline capacity.
volume reduction on the Beltline Corridor, a substantial Beltline capacity increase, or a	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.  •Transit priority will not substantially increase Beltline capacity.	Transit priority through the intersection will not substantially reduce Beltline volumes.     Transit priority will not substantially increase Beltline capacity.	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.  •Transit priority will not substantially increase Beltline capacity.  No	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.  •Transit priority will not substantially increase Beltline capacity.	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.  •Transit priority will not substantially increase	substantially reduce Beltline volumes.  •Transit priority will not substantially increas Beltline capacity.

ndividual Components Screening Transit Prio	rity Component Screening	(Screening terminology-No, Somewha	at, Yes)			
creening Question	Seminole Highway	Todd Drive	Fish Hatchery Road	Rimrock Road	West Broadway	Stoughton Road
COMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY						
	•Mode transfer improvements are not included	No •Mode transfer improvements are not included in this component.	No •Mode transfer improvements are not included in this component.	•Mode transfer improvements are not included in this component.	No •Mode transfer improvements are not included in this component.	Mode transfer improvements are not included in this component.
Limit impacts to a responsible level of social, cultu	ral, and environmental effects. NOTE: See th	ne following sheets for details.				
		Drive interchange is not anticipated to benefit Metro routes.	northbound) and Emil Street (southbound). Larger intersection footprints may impact commercial and office properties southeast and southwest of Greenway Cross and a gas station northwest of Emil Street. With recent improvements, the Fish Hatchery Road	added. Near the Rimrock Road interchange, this likely includes Badger Road (eastbound and northbound) and Rusk Road (eastbound and southbound). Larger intersection footprints may impact parking for a car dealership southeast of the interchange; parking for a car dealership southeast of Badger Road; and parking for a hotel northwest of Rusk Road. The Rimrock Road interchange is not capacity-constrained today.	and retail properties southwest and southeast of the interchange but should not have private property impacts on the north side of the interchange. The West Broadway interchange	added. Near the Stoughton Road interchange this likely includes Broadway (eastbound). Intersection expansion may impact a natural area or wetland and possibly a creek or strea southwest of Broadway and a generally open area northeast of Broadway. The Stoughton Road interchange is capacity-constrained today during the morning peak hour. Implementing transit signal priority or a queu jump could diminish operations for other mot vehicles unless they are combined with other
Enhance efficient multimodal access to economic	centers.					
Does the component acknowledge capacity		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
network (near the Beltline?)	through this interchange.  •The Seminole Highway interchange is not	through this interchange.	through this interchange.	through this interchange.	Transit priority is unlikely to affect volumes through this interchange. The West Broadway interchange is capacity constrained in the morning peak hour. Implementing transit priority could diminish operations.	Transit priority is unlikely to affect volumes through this interchange. The Stoughton Road interchange is capacity constrained. Implementing transit priority could diminish operations. Improved service to the existing Dutch Mill park and ride could have a modest net increase or net decrease in traffic through the interchange.
Door the compenent have connections to connection	Companyhat	Companies	Voc	Companyhat	Composition	Comounibat
centers for all modes?	Transit priority could enhance connections for 1 standard Metro route. Connections for bike/ped are not included in this component.	1 standard Metro route.	Yes •Transit priority could enhance connections for 3 Metro routes. •This may enhance connections for future BRT service •Connections for bike/ped are not included in this component.	2 Metro routes.	1 standard Metro route.	Somewhat     Transit priority could enhance connections for 1 coverage Metro route.     Connections for bike/ped are not included in this component.
Can the component improve Beltline interchange	No	No	No	No	No	No
operation?	for other motor vehicles at congested intersections because it may require a share of the signal cycle.  Operations along the Beltline mainline are not anticipated to be significantly impacted.	for other motor vehicles at congested intersections because it may require a share of the signal cycle.  Operations along the Beltline mainline are not anticipated to be significantly impacted.	for other motor vehicles at congested intersections because it may require a share of	for other motor vehicles at congested intersections because it may require a share of the signal cycle.	for other motor vehicles at congested intersections because it may require a share of the signal cycle.	the signal cycle.
Does the component create traffic volumes on	No	No	No	No	No	No
streets/roads that are compatible with their functional classification, adjacent land use, and available capacity?	. , ,	•Transit priority will have negligible impact on traffic volumes.	•Transit priority will have negligible impact on traffic volumes.	The state of the s	•Transit priority will have negligible impact on traffic volumes.	•Transit priority will have negligible impact of traffic volumes.

In	ndividual Components Screening Transit Priority Component Screening (Screening terminology-No, Somewhat, Yes)													
So	creening Question	Seminole Highway	Todd Drive	Fish Hatchery Road	Rimrock Road	West Broadway	Stoughton Road							
C	COMPONENT SCREENING SUMMARY <sup>1</sup>													
7.	Complement other major transportation initiatives	and studies in the Madison area.												
			Somewhat		Somewhat		Somewhat							
		Transit priority is consistent with other City of Madison and WisDOT initiatives (e.g. encourage alternate modes).  The Transit Development Plan from the Greater Madison MPO does recommend	Transit priority is consistent with other City of Madison and WisDOT initiatives (e.g. encourage alternate modes). The Transit Development Plan from the Greater Madison MPO does recommend priority treatments at this location.	current WisDOT or City of Madison plans.  Transit priority is consistent with other City of Madison and WisDOT initiatives (e.g. encourage alternate modes).  The Transit Development Plan from the	Madison and WisDOT initiatives (e.g. encourage alternate modes).  The Transit Development Plan from the Greater Madison MPO does recommend	Transit priority is consistent with other City of Madison and WisDOT initiatives (e.g. encourage alternate modes). The Transit Development Plan from the Greater Madison MPO does recommend	Transit priority is not in current WisDOT or City of Madison plans Transit priority is consistent with other City of Madison and WisDOT initiatives (e.g. encourage alternate modes). The Transit Development Plan from the Greater Madison MPO does recommend priority treatments at this location.							