**AGRICULTURE Factor Sheet**

08-18-2025Wisconsin Department of Transportation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Alternative:** | **Preferred:** [ ]  **Yes** [ ]  **No** [ ]  **None identified** | **Project ID:** |

1. **Total acquisition interest, by type of agricultural land use:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Type of Land Acquired from Farm Operations** | **Type of Acquisition (acres)** | **Total Area (acres)** |
| **Fee** | **PLE** | **TLE** |
| **Cropland** |       |       |       |       |
| **Pasture** |       |       |       |       |
| **Idle or Fallow Fields** |       |       |       |       |
| **Specialty Farmland** |       |       |       |       |
| **Other Agricultural Land** |       |       |       |       |
| **Totals** |       |       |       |       |

1. **Indicate number of farm operations from which land would be acquired:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Acreage to be Acquired** | **Number of Farm Operations** |
| Less than 1 acre |  |
| 1 acre to 5 acres |  |
| More than 5 acres |  |

1. **Which type of Agricultural Impact Notification (AIN) is needed?**

[ ]  All land being acquired is non significant (all must be checked) – Submit Brief Format to DATCP

 [ ]  Less than 1 acre in size per farm operation

 [ ]  Does not result in removal of farm residence

 [ ]  Does not result in removal of a farm operation building

 [ ]  Does not result in loss of access to an aspect of a farm operation

 [ ]  Does not result in loss of livestock related infrastructure

* Examples include manure storage, grain/feed storage areas or feedlots

 [ ]  Acquisition 1 to 5 acres per farm operation – Submit Summary Format AIN to DATCP

 [ ]  Acquisition over 5 acres per farm operation – Submit Formal AIN to DATCP

[ ]  Through coordination, DATCP has determined an AIN is not required

**4. Has DATCP determined an Agricultural Impact Statement (AIS) Required?**

 [ ]  No, documentation is attached here:

 [ ]  Yes, documentation is attached here:

1. **Identify and describe impacts to farm operations because of land lost due to the project.**

[ ]  Does not apply.

[ ]  Applies, discuss:

**6. Describe changes in access to farm operations caused by the proposed action.**

[ ]  Does not apply.

[ ]  Applies, discuss:

**7. Indicate whether a farm operation would be severed because of the project and describe the severance (include area of original parcel and size of any remnant parcels).**

[ ]  Does not apply.

[ ]  Applies, discuss:

**8. Identify any impacted agricultural properties operated by someone other than the property owner.**

[ ]  Unknown

[ ]  Does not apply

 [ ]  Applies, discuss:

**9. Identify and describe impacts generated by the acquisition or relocation of farm operation buildings, structures or improvements (e.g., barns, silos, stock watering ponds, irrigation wells, etc.). Address the location, type, condition and importance to the farm operation as appropriate.**

[ ]  Does not apply

[ ]  Applies, discuss:

**10. Identify and describe any impacts on agricultural property improvements such as windbreaks, fencing, drainage ditches, tiling, irrigation systems or wells.**

[ ]  Does not apply

[ ]  Applies, discuss:

**11 . Identify and describe any impacts to farm operations that are certified organic producers or that incorporate organic farming practices. Discuss any additional concerns expressed by the farm operator and any mitigation techniques considered or incorporated into the proposed action. (Organic producers or those that exercise organic farms practices would be concerned with any herbicide or pesticide drift that could occur as part of a WisDOT project).**

[ ]  Does not apply

[ ]  Applies, discuss:

**12. Describe impacts caused by the elimination or relocation of a cattle/equipment pass or crossing. Attach plans, sketches, or other graphics as needed to clearly illustrate existing and proposed location of any cattle/equipment pass or crossing.**

[ ]  No cattle or equipment passes would be impacted by the proposed action

[ ]  Replacement of an existing cattle/equipment pass, or crossing is not planned, discuss:

[ ]  Cattle/equipment pass replacement will occur at same location

[ ]  Cattle/equipment pass, or crossing will be relocated, discuss:

[ ]  Other, discuss:

**13. Identify and describe any proposed or anticipated changes in land use that would or could affect farm operations and are related to the development of this project.**

[ ]  Does not apply

[ ]  Applies, discuss:

**14. Describe any other project-related effects identified by a farm operator or owner that may be adverse, beneficial or controversial:**

[ ]  No effects indicated by farm operator or owner

[ ]  Applies, discuss:

**15. Describe measures to minimize adverse effects or enhance benefits to agricultural operations:**

**16. Is land that would be converted to highway use covered by the Farmland Protection Policy Act?**

[ ]  No

[ ] The land was purchased prior to August 6, 1984 for conversion

[ ]  The acquisition does not directly or indirectly convert farmland

 [ ]  The land is clearly not farmland

 [ ]  The land is already in, or committed to urban use or water storage

[ ]  Yes (This determination is made by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) via the completion of the Farmland Impact Conversion Rating Form, NRCS Form AD-1006 or CPA - 106)

 [ ]  The land is prime farmland which is not already committed to urban development or water storage

 [ ]  The land is unique farmland

[ ]  The land is farmland which is of statewide or local importance as determined by the appropriate state or local government agency

[ ]  Unknown - The Site Assessment Criteria Score (Part VI of Form CPA-106) is less than 60 points for all project alternatives. Per FDM 20-55-20.3.2, formal coordination and submittal of Form CPA-106 to NRCS is not required

--

**17. Has the Farmland Conversion Impact Rating Form (CPA-106 or AD-1006) been submitted to NRCS?**

[ ]  No

[ ]  The Farmland Protection Policy Act is not applicable and no formal coordination with the NRCS is

 required

[ ]  The Site Assessment Criteria Score (Part VI of the form) is less than 60 points for each project alternative and no formal coordination is required

[ ]  Yes – The Site Assessment Criteria Score is 60 points or greater for any project alternative.

Date Form CPA-106 or AD-1006 completed: