

## CRANBERRY INTERCHANGE DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT

### CLARIFICATION / INQUIRY SUBMISSION TEMPLATE

February 7, 2025

Question ID  ([Proposer Name]-No.)	Public/ Confidential  (P or C)	Document Section  RFQ, Book, ITP Section	Date Submitted	Question	Answer
A-01a	P	RFQ, Section 3.3.3.1, Pages 18-19, Level A Personnel: Erosion Control Specialist	1/24/2025	Can WisDOT clarify their desire for the Erosion Control Specialist position to be either contractor, or Consultant lead? The required qualification <i>“Must have experience in Wisconsin developing erosion control implementation plans (ECIP) and amendments”</i> implies contractor lead, while other parts of the description detail <i>“independent from construction personnel”</i> . These two items seem to be in conflict as most all contractors self-perform ECIP development and amendments. We just want to make sure we provide exactly what the Department is looking for.	<p>This position can be either contractor or consultant led. This question will be addressed in an addendum with the following edit:</p> <p><b>Erosion Control Specialist:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Will be responsible for completing the erosion control implementation plan (ECIP), identifying need for ECIP amendments, and completing ECIP amendments</li> <li>Will be responsible for remedying deficiencies identified by the Department during weekly and rainfall event erosion control inspections</li> <li>Will complete erosion control inspections with the WisDOT inspector and provide input and solutions during erosion control inspections.</li> <li>Must be available to be on site within 24 hours of all phases of the Project</li> <li>Must have the authority to stop all work, if necessary, to comply with permits and requirements</li> </ul>

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Must report directly to the Design-Build Construction Manager</li> </ul>
A-01b				<p>Could the position be split between two individuals? 1) An independent consultant with heavy Wisconsin experience in design environmental coordination and construction EC oversight, and 2) a contractor lead ECIP and onsite inspection specialist to work collaboratively with WisDOT onsite staff.</p> <p>Would it be acceptable if Roll 2 reports to Roll 1 who reports to Design-Build Construction Manager? This procedure would fulfill the "independent" requirement from Page 18 while also fulfilling the experience "developing erosion control implementation plans" requirement of Page 19.</p>	Section 3.3.3 does not allow for splitting of the position. See question 1-1 for clarification of the position.
A-02	P	RFQ, Section 3.6.2, Bonding and Financial Capability	1/24/2025	<p>Considering most all Proposers on this contract fill out this same information for WisDOT already, could WisDOT add that completing DT1633 each month, which includes "The dollar amount of ALL incomplete work according to the engineer's, architect's, or owner's latest estimate now under contract" fulfills the requirements of bullet points one and two?</p> <p>It seems reasonable to complete</p>	<p>This question will be addressed in an addendum.</p> <p>Submit outstanding work logs with the SOQ and Cost Proposal submittals.</p>

				the entire list for the RFQ and again for the Technical and Cost Proposal. However, updating every 7 calendar days between those two submissions adds substantial duplicative reporting between the Proposer and the Department.	
B-01	P	RFQ, Section 3.2.2	1/28/2025	<p>The last sentence of the first paragraph reads:</p> <p>“The Proposer must....and demonstrate that the Proposer meets the prequalification requirements in Section 2.13.”</p> <p>Please clarify if the “Proposer” in the phrase noted above refers to the Proposer’s Team which would include Contractor(s) and Engineering Firm(s).</p>	<p>This question will be addressed in an addendum.</p> <p><del>The proposer and/or a major participant listed in the SOQ must meet the prequalification requirements.</del></p> <p>The Proposer and Engineering Firm have separate requirements in section 2.13.</p>